arXiv:0904.0227v2 [math.AG] 17 May 2010

NOETHERIAN APPROXIMATION OF ALGEBRAIC SPACES AND STACKS

DAVID RYDH

Abstract. We show that every scheme (resp. algebraic space, resp. algebraic stack) which is quasi-compact with quasi-finite diagonal can be approximated by a noetherian scheme (resp. algebraic space, resp. stack). More generally, we show that any stack which is étale-locally a global quotient stack can be approximated. Examples of applications are generalizations of Chevalley's, Serre's and Zariski's theorems and Chow's lemma. We also show that every quasi-compact algebraic stack with quasi-finite diagonal has a finite generically flat cover by a scheme.

Introduction

Let A be a commutative ring and let M be an A-module. Then A is the direct limit of its subrings which are finitely generated as $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras and M is the direct limit of its finitely generated A-submodules. Thus any affine scheme X is an inverse limit of affine schemes of finite type over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ and every quasi-coherent sheaf on X is a direct limit of quasi-coherent sheaves of finite type. The purpose of this article is to give similar approximation results for schemes, algebraic spaces and stacks.

We say that an algebraic stack X is of *global type* if étale-locally X is a global quotient stack, cf. Section 2 for a precise definition. Examples of stacks of global type are quasi-compact and quasi-separated schemes, algebraic spaces, Deligne–Mumford stacks and algebraic stacks with quasi-finite and locally separated diagonals. For technical reasons we also introduce the slightly more general class of stacks of *approximation type*, cf. Section 2.

The main result of this paper, Theorem D, is that any stack of approximation type can be approximated by a noetherian stack. More generally, if $X \to S$ is a morphism of stacks of approximation type, then X is an inverse limit of finitely presented stacks over S.

The primary application of the approximation theorem is the elimination of noetherian and excellent hypotheses. When eliminating noetherian hypotheses in statements about finitely presented morphisms $X \to Y$ which are *local* on Y the basic affine approximation result referred to in the beginning is sufficient, cf. the standard limit results in [$\mathrm{EGA}_{\mathrm{IV}}$, §8] and Appendix B. For *global* problems, it is crucial to have Theorem D. Examples of such applications, including generalizations of Chevalley's, Serre's and Zariski's

Date: 2010-05-17.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14A20.

Key words and phrases. Noetherian approximation, algebraic spaces, algebraic stacks, Chevalley's theorem, Serre's theorem, global quotient stacks, global type.

Supported by grant KAW 2005.0098 from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation and by the Swedish Research Council.

theorems and Chow's lemma, are given in Section 8. Although this paper is written with stacks in mind, most of the applications in §8 are new also when applied to schemes and algebraic spaces. We also answer a question by Grothendieck [$\mathrm{EGA}_{\mathrm{IV}}$, Rem. 18.12.9] on integral morphisms affirmatively, cf. Theorem (8.5).

We now state the main results of this paper:

Theorem A (Completeness)**.** *Every stack of approximation type (e.g., of global type) is* pseudo-noetherian*, cf. Section 4.*

Loosely speaking, a stack X is *pseudo-noetherian* if every quasi-coherent sheaf on X is a direct limit of finitely presented sheaves. Every noetherian stack is pseudo-noetherian. In the category of schemes Theorem A is well-known [$\mathrm{EGA}_{\mathrm{I}}$, §6.9] and Raynaud and Gruson has given a slightly weaker result for algebraic spaces [RG71, Prop. 5.7.8].

Theorem B (Finite coverings)**.** *Let X be a quasi-compact stack with quasi-finite and separated diagonal (resp. a quasi-compact Deligne–Mumford stack with separated diagonal). Then there exists a scheme Z and a finite, finitely presented and surjective morphism $Z \to X$ which is flat (resp. étale) over a dense quasi-compact open subset $U \subseteq X$.*

When X is a *noetherian Deligne–Mumford stack* Theorem B is due to G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly [LMB00, Thm. 16.6]. When X is of finite type over a noetherian scheme, the existence of a scheme Z and a finite and surjective morphism $Z \to X$ (without generic flatness) was shown by D. Edidin, B. Hassett, A. Kresch and A. Vistoli [EHKV01, Thm. 2.7].

Theorem C (Approximation of properties)**.** *Let S be a quasi-compact algebraic stack and let $\{X_\lambda \to S\}$ be an inverse system of finitely presented S-stacks with affine bounding maps $X_\mu \to X_\lambda$ and limit X. Let P be one of the properties:*

(i) *affine,*
(ii) *quasi-affine,*
(iii) *representable,*
(iv) *separated,*
(v) *locally separated,*
(vi) *separated diagonal,*
(vii) *locally separated diagonal,*
(viii) *unramified diagonal (i.e., relatively Deligne–Mumford),*
(ix) *quasi-finite diagonal,*
(x) *affine diagonal,*
(xi) *quasi-affine diagonal,*
(xii) *finite inertia,*
(xiii) *abelian inertia (i.e., stabilizer groups are abelian),*
(xiv) *tame inertia (i.e., stabilizer groups are finite and linearly reductive).*

Then $X \to S$ has property P if and only if there exists an index α such that $X_\lambda \to S$ has property P for every $\lambda \geq \alpha$. If in addition the bounding maps $X_\mu \to X_\lambda$ are closed immersions (which implies that $X \to S$ is of finite type), the same conclusion holds if P is one of the properties:

(xv) *monomorphism (of finite type),*
(xvi) *unramified,*
(xvii) *quasi-finite,*
(xviii) *finite,*
(xix) *closed immersion,*
(xx) *immersion,*
(xxi) *proper with finite diagonal.*

Theorem D (Approximation)**.** *Let S be a pseudo-noetherian algebraic stack and let $X \to S$ be a morphism of approximation type (these assumptions are satisfied if X and S are of global type). Then there exists a* finitely presented *morphism $X_0 \to S$ and an* affine *S-morphism $X \to X_0$. Moreover, $X \to X_0 \to S$ can be chosen such that:*

(a) *If $X \to S$ is of finite type, then $X \to X_0$ is a closed immersion.*
(b) *If $X \to S$ has one of the properties* (i)–(xxi) *of Theorem C or the property "integral", then so have $X_0 \to S$ and $X \to X_0$.*
(c) *Assume that S is of approximation type. If $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ has one of the properties* (i)–(xiv) *of Theorem C then so has $X_0 \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. If X is a scheme then so is X_0.*

Finally, X can be written as an inverse limit $\varprojlim_\lambda X_\lambda$ of finitely presented S-stacks with affine bounding maps such that for every λ, the factorization $X \to X_\lambda \to S$ satisfies (a)–(c) *with $X_0 = X_\lambda$.*

When X and S are *schemes*, parts of Theorems C and D have been shown by R. W. Thomason and T. Trobaugh [TT90, App. C], B. Conrad [Con07, Thm. 4.3, App. A] and M. Temkin [Tem08, Thm. 1.1.2]. When X and S are *algebraic spaces*, Theorem D was recently obtained independently by B. Conrad, M. Lieblich and M. Olsson [CLO09, §3].

There are also some approximation results for group schemes. If G is a quasi-compact group over a field, then D. Perrin has shown that G is an inverse limit of groups of finite type [Per76].

Overview. We begin with some conventions on stacks in Section 1. In Section 2 we define stacks of global type and stacks of approximation type and show that every quasi-compact algebraic stack with quasi-finite and locally separated diagonal is of global type. In Section 3 we briefly outline the étale devissage method of [Ryd10a]. In Sections 4 and 5 we prove Theorems A and B. In Section 6 we prove Theorem C which is essentially independent of Theorems A and B. In Section 7 we prove Theorem D. We conclude with numerous applications of the main theorems in Section 8.

In the appendices we extend the standard results [EGA$_{\text{IV}}$, §8–9] on limits and constructible properties from schemes to stacks.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank J. Alper, B. Conrad, P. Gross, M. Lieblich, M. Olsson, R. Skjelnes and M. Temkin for useful comments and discussions.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Stack conventions 4

2. Stacks of global type and approximation type 5
3. Étale devissage 8
4. Approximation of modules and algebras 10
5. Finite coverings of stacks 14
6. Properties stable under approximation 16
7. Approximation of schemes and stacks 19
8. Applications 23
Appendix A. Constructible properties 28
Appendix B. Standard limit results 30
References 34

1. Stack conventions

We follow the conventions in [LMB00] except that we do not require that the diagonal of an algebraic stack is separated. We only require that an algebraic stack is *quasi-separated*, i.e., that the diagonal is quasi-compact and quasi-separated. Nevertheless, we will often point out when this assumption is crucial by writing "quasi-separated algebraic stack". If Y is a quasi-compact (and quasi-separated) algebraic stack, then $X \to Y$ is quasi-compact if and only if X is so. In particular, an open substack $U \subseteq Y$ is quasi-compact if and only if the morphism $U \to Y$ is quasi-compact.

(1.1) A *presentation* of a stack X is an algebraic space X' and a faithfully flat morphism $X' \to X$ locally of finite presentation. A morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of stacks is *representable* (resp. *strongly representable*) if $X \times_Y Y'$ is an algebraic space (resp. a scheme) for every scheme Y' and morphism $Y' \to Y$. Note that the property of being representable is fppf-local on the target. Indeed, a morphism is representable if and only if its diagonal is a monomorphism. This is not the case for the property of being strongly representable. A morphism $X \to S$ of stacks is *locally separated* if the diagonal $\Delta_{X/S}$ is an immersion. In particular, every locally separated morphism is representable.

An algebraic stack X is *Deligne–Mumford* if there exists an étale presentation of X, or equivalently, if the diagonal is unramified [LMB00, Thm. 8.1] (the assumption that the diagonal is separated is not used in the proof).

An algebraic stack is *noetherian* if it is quasi-compact and quasi-separated and admits a noetherian presentation.

(1.2) *Unramified and étale* — For the definition and general properties of unramified and étale morphisms of stacks we refer to [Ryd09, App. B]. In particular, by an unramified morphism we mean a formally unramified morphism which is locally of *finite type* (not necessarily of finite presentation). An étale morphism is a formally étale morphism which is locally of finite presentation. A morphism is unramified if and only if it is locally of finite type and its diagonal is étale. A morphism is étale if and only if it is locally of finite presentation, unramified and flat. We do not require that unramified and étale morphisms are representable.

(1.3) *Quasi-finite* — A morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of stacks is *quasi-finite* if f is of finite type, every fiber of f is discrete and every fiber of the diagonal Δ_f is discrete. Equivalently, f is quasi-finite if and only if f is of finite type, every fiber of f is zero-dimensional and every fiber of the diagonal of f is zero-dimensional. The diagonal of a quasi-finite (and quasi-separated) morphism is quasi-finite.

Given a morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of algebraic stacks, we say that $h \circ g\colon X \to X_0 \to Y$ is a *factorization* of f if there exists a 2-isomorphism $f \Rightarrow h \circ g$.

The *inertia stack* of a morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of algebraic stacks is the algebraic stack $I_{X/Y} := X \times_{X\times_Y X} X$. It comes with a representable morphism $I_f\colon I_{X/Y} \to X$ and a section $X \to I_{X/Y}$. We say that f has finite (etc.) inertia if I_f is finite (etc.).

All inverse systems are filtered and all maps in inverse systems are affine.

2. Stacks of global type and approximation type

In this section we define stacks of global type and show that every quasi-compact stack with quasi-finite and locally separated diagonal is a stack of global type. We also define stacks of approximation type which is a natural class of stacks for our purposes. Every stack of global type and every stack of finite presentation over a scheme is of approximation type. The main advantage of the class of approximation type is that there is a well-behaved notion of *morphisms* of approximation type.

Definition (2.1). A stack X is a *global quotient stack* if $X = [V/\mathrm{GL}_n]$ for some *quasi-affine* scheme V and integer n. An algebraic stack X is of *global type* if there exists a representable, étale, finitely presented and surjective morphism $p\colon X' \to X$ such that X' is a global quotient stack.

Remark **(2.2).** Let X be a stack of global type. Then X is quasi-compact, quasi-separated and Δ_X is locally separated with affine fibers, cf. [Ryd10a, App. A]. Note that there exist stacks of global type with non-separated diagonals, e.g., every quasi-compact and quasi-separated Deligne–Mumford stack is of global type. Conversely, it is possible that every quasi-compact and quasi-separated stack with locally separated diagonal and affine stabilizers, e.g., every stack with quasi-affine diagonal, is of global type.

B. Totaro has shown that a normal noetherian stack is a global quotient stack if and only if it has the resolution property [Tot04]. By recent work of P. Gross, this also holds for non-normal noetherian stacks [Gro10]. Thus a noetherian stack X is of global type if and only if the resolution property holds étale-locally on X.

Remark **(2.3).** Let G be an affine smooth group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ with connected fibers, e.g., $G = \mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathbb{Z}}$. If X is a *normal noetherian scheme* with an action of G, then $[X/G]$ is of global type. Indeed, if X is quasi-projective, then $[X/G]$ has the resolution property by [Tot04, Thm. 2.1 (2)] and a result of Sumihiro [Sum75, Thm. 3.8] states that there exists a Zariski covering $U \to [X/G]$ such that $X \times_{[X/G]} U$ is quasi-projective.

Proposition (2.4). *Let X be an algebraic stack with a finite flat presentation $p\colon U \to X$ such that U is quasi-affine. Then X is a global quotient stack.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L} = p_*\mathcal{O}_V$ which is a locally free sheaf of finite rank. Let $x\colon \operatorname{Spec}(k) \to X$ be a point and let G_x be the stabilizer group scheme of x. The stabilizer group scheme acts on the k-vector space $\mathcal{L}_x$ and this coaction is faithful since the stabilizer action on the subscheme $V_x \hookrightarrow \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{L}_x)$ is free. Replacing $\mathcal{L}$ with the direct sum of $\mathcal{L}$ and a free sheaf, we can further assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is of constant rank r.

Let $Z = \underline{Isom}_X(\mathcal{O}_X^r, \mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathbf{V}((\mathcal{L}^\vee)^r)$ be the frame bundle of $\mathcal{L}$. The morphism $Z \to X$ is a $\mathrm{GL}_{r,\mathbb{Z}}$-torsor and Z is an algebraic space since the action of G_x on the fiber Z_x is free. Since $Z \to X$ is affine, we have that $Z \times_X V$ is quasi-affine. As $Z \times_X V \to Z$ is a finite flat presentation, we have that Z is quasi-affine as well by [Ryd10a, Lem. C.1]. Thus $X = [Z/\mathrm{GL}_r]$ is of global type. □

Corollary (2.5). *Every quasi-compact algebraic stack with quasi-finite and locally separated diagonal is of global type.*

Proof. Let X be a stack with quasi-finite and locally separated diagonal. By [Ryd10a, Thm. 7.2], there exists a representable étale surjective morphism $X' \to X$ of finite presentation and a finite flat presentation $V \to X'$ with V quasi-affine. As X' is a global quotient stack, we have by definition that X is of global type. □

Definition (2.6). We say that a morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of algebraic stacks is of *strict approximation type* if f can be written as a composition of affine morphisms and finitely presented morphisms. We say that f is of *approximation type* if there exists a surjective representable and finitely presented étale morphism $p\colon X' \to X$ such that $f \circ p$ is of strict approximation type. We say that an algebraic stack X is of (strict) approximation type if $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is of (strict) approximation type.

We begin with the usual sorites of morphisms of (strict) approximation type.

Proposition (2.7). —

(i) *Finitely presented morphisms and quasi-affine morphisms are of strict approximation type.*
(ii) *A morphism of approximation type is quasi-compact and quasi-separated.*
(iii) *Global quotient stacks are of strict approximation type. Stacks of global type, e.g., quasi-compact and quasi-separated Deligne–Mumford stacks, are of approximation type.*
(iv) *If $f\colon X \to Y$ is of (strict) approximation type and $Y' \to Y$ is a morphism, then $f'\colon X \times_Y Y' \to Y'$ is of (strict) approximation type.*
(v) *If $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$ are of (strict) approximation type then so is $g \circ f$.*

(vi) *If $f_1\colon X_1 \to Y_1$ and $f_2\colon X_2 \to Y_2$ are of (strict) approximation type then so is $f_1 \times f_2$.*
(vii) *If $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$ are morphisms such that $g \circ f$ and Δ_g are of (strict) approximation type, then so is f.*
(viii) *If $f\colon X \to Y$ is of (strict) approximation type, then so is $\Delta_f\colon X \to X \times_Y X$.*

In particular, morphisms between stacks of global type are of approximation type.

Proof. (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are obvious and (vii) follows from a standard argument.

(iii) Let $X = [V/\mathrm{GL}_n]$ with V quasi-affine. Then there is an induced quasi-affine morphisms $X \to \mathrm{BGL}_n$ so that X is of strict approximation type.

(viii) If $f = f_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_1$ is a composition of affine morphisms and finitely presented morphisms then so is Δ_f since Δ_f is a composition of pull-backs of the Δ_{f_i}'s. If $p\colon X' \to X$ is a surjective representable and finitely presented étale morphism such that $f \circ p$ is of strict approximation type. Then $\Delta_f \circ p = (p \times p) \circ \Delta_{f\circ p}$ is of strict approximation type. □

Proposition (2.8). *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of algebraic stacks.*
(i) *Let $g\colon Y' \to Y$ be surjective, representable and étale of finite presentation. If $f'\colon X \times_Y Y' \to Y'$ is of approximation type, then so is f.*
(ii) *Let $p\colon X' \to X$ be surjective, representable and étale of finite presentation. If $f \circ p$ is of approximation type, then so is f.*

Proof. Immediate from the definition of approximation type. □

Proposition (2.9). *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of algebraic stacks.*
(i) *Let $g\colon Y' \to Y$ be finite and faithfully flat of finite presentation. If $f'\colon X \times_Y Y' \to Y'$ is of (strict) approximation type, then so is f.*
(ii) *Let $p\colon X' \to X$ be finite and faithfully flat of finite presentation and assume that X is quasi-compact. If $f \circ p$ is of (strict) approximation type, then so is f.*

Proof. (i) We will make use of the Weil restriction of stacks along g [Ryd10b, §3]. Recall that the Weil restriction is a 2-functor $\mathbf{R}_g\colon \mathbf{Stack}_{/Y'} \to \mathbf{Stack}_{/Y}$ from stacks over Y' to stacks over Y. If Z is an algebraic stack over Y' then so is $\mathbf{R}_g(Z) \to Y$. If $h\colon Z_1 \to Z_2$ is a morphism of algebraic stacks over Y', then there is an induced morphism $\mathbf{R}_g(h)\colon \mathbf{R}_g(Z_1) \to \mathbf{R}_g(Z_2)$. If h is affine (resp. of finite presentation, resp. étale and surjective, resp. representable, resp. a monomorphism) then so is $\mathbf{R}_g(h)$. In particular, if f' is of (strict) approximation type then so is $\mathbf{R}_g(f')\colon \mathbf{R}_g(X \times_Y Y') \to Y$. As $\mathbf{R}_g$ is the right adjoint to the pull-back functor $g^{-1}\colon \mathbf{Stack}_{/Y} \to \mathbf{Stack}_{/Y'}$ we have unit and counit maps

$$\eta\colon X \to \mathbf{R}_g(X \times_Y Y')$$
$$\epsilon\colon \mathbf{R}_g(X \times_Y Y') \times_Y Y' \to X \times_Y Y'$$

such that

$$f = \mathbf{R}_g(f') \circ \eta$$

$$\mathbf{R}_g(f') \times_Y \mathrm{id}_{Y'} = f' \circ \epsilon$$
$$\mathrm{id}_{X\times_Y Y'} = \epsilon \circ (\eta \times_Y \mathrm{id}_{Y'}).$$

It is thus enough to show that η is of (strict) approximation type. Since $\mathbf{R}_g(f')$ and f' are of (strict) approximation type, so are ϵ and $\eta \times_Y \mathrm{id}_{Y'}$. We further observe that if f is arbitrary (resp. representable, resp. a monomorphism) then so is ϵ and it follows that η is representable (resp. a monomorphism, resp. an isomorphism). We can thus replace f with η and $g\colon Y' \to Y$ with its pull-back $\mathbf{R}_g(f') \times_Y Y' \to \mathbf{R}_g(f')$ and further assume that f is representable (resp. a monomorphism, resp. an isomorphism). Repeating the argument twice settles (i).

(ii) We will make use of the *Hilbert stack of points* [Ryd10b]. Since X is quasi-compact, and the fiber rank of p is locally constant, we can assume that p has constant rank d. This induces a morphism $X \to \mathscr{H}^d_{Y/Y}$ and a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Z & & \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & & \\ X & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{H}^d_{Y/Y} & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

where $Z \to \mathscr{H}^d_{Y/Y}$ is the universal finite flat family of constant rank d. Since $\mathscr{H}^d_{Y/Y} \to Y$ is of finite presentation, we have that $X' \to Z$ is of (strict) approximation type and that $X \to Y$ is of (strict) approximation type by (i). □

For our immediate purposes we only need Proposition (2.9) for finite *étale* coverings. The proof can then be simplified by replacing the Weil restriction and the Hilbert stack with symmetric products and $\mathrm{B}\mathfrak{S}_d \times Y$. However, Proposition (2.9) together with [Ryd10a, Thm. 6.3] shows that in the definition of approximation type, we can essentially replace étale with quasi-finite. To be precise, if $f\colon X \to Y$ is a morphism of algebraic stacks such that X is quasi-compact and quasi-separated, then f is of approximation type if and only if there exists a locally separated quasi-finite faithfully flat morphism $p\colon X' \to X$ of finite presentation such that $f \circ p$ is of strict approximation type.

It should be noted that the only reason for insisting upon p being representable in Definitions (2.1) and (2.6) is that currently we only have a nice étale devissage for representable morphisms. This is also the reason behind the local separatedness assumption in Corollary (2.5).

Questions **(2.10).** Is the notion of (strict) approximation type fppf-local on the target? Is every noetherian stack of approximation type? Is every quasi-compact and quasi-separated stack of approximation type? Is every quasi-compact stack with quasi-affine diagonal of global type?

3. Étale devissage

The étale devissage method reduces question about general étale morphisms to étale morphisms of two basic types. The first type is finite étale coverings. The second is *étale neighborhoods* or equivalently push-outs of

étale and open morphisms — the étale analogue of open coverings consisting of two open subsets. For the reader's convenience, we summarize the main results of [Ryd10a].

Definition (3.1). Let X be an algebraic stack and let $Z \hookrightarrow |X|$ be a closed subset. An étale morphism $p\colon X' \to X$ is an *étale neighborhood of* Z if $p|_{Z_{\mathrm{red}}}$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem (3.2) ([Ryd10a, Thm. A])**.** *Let X be an algebraic stack and let $U \subseteq X$ be an open substack. Let $f\colon X' \to X$ be an étale neighborhood of $X \setminus U$ and let $U' = f^{-1}(U)$. The natural functor*

$$(|_U, f^*)\colon \mathbf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathbf{QCoh}(U) \times_{\mathbf{QCoh}(U')} \mathbf{QCoh}(X')$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Theorem (3.3) ([Ryd10a, Thm. B])**.** *Let X be an algebraic stack and let $j\colon U \to X$ be an open immersion. Let $p\colon X' \to X$ be an étale neighborhood of $X \setminus U$ and let $j'\colon U' \to X'$ be the pull-back of j. Then X is the push-out in the category of algebraic stacks of $p|_U$ and j'.*

Theorem (3.4) ([Ryd10a, Thm. C])**.** *Let X' be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated algebraic stack, let $j'\colon U' \to X'$ be a quasi-compact open immersion and let $p_U\colon U' \to U$ be a finitely presented étale morphism. Then the push-out X of j' and p_U exists in the category of quasi-compact and quasi-separated algebraic stacks. The resulting co-cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U' & \xrightarrow{j'} & X' \\ {\scriptstyle p_U}\downarrow & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ U & \xrightarrow[j]{} & X \end{array}$$

is also cartesian, j is a quasi-compact open immersion and p is an étale and finitely presented neighborhood of $X \setminus U$.

Theorem (3.5) ([Ryd10a, Thm. D])**.** *Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated algebraic stack and let $\mathbf{E}$ be the category of finitely presented étale morphisms $Y \to X$. Let $\mathbf{D} \subseteq \mathbf{E}$ be a full subcategory such that*

- (D1) *if $Y \in \mathbf{D}$ and $(Y' \to Y) \in \mathbf{E}$ then $Y' \in \mathbf{D}$,*
- (D2) *if $Y' \in \mathbf{D}$ and $Y' \to Y$ is finite, surjective and étale, then $Y \in \mathbf{D}$, and*
- (D3) *if $j\colon U \to Y$ and $f\colon Y' \to Y$ are morphisms in $\mathbf{E}$ such that j is an open immersion and f is an étale neighborhood of $Y \setminus U$, then $Y \in \mathbf{D}$ if $U, Y' \in \mathbf{D}$.*

Then if $(Y' \to Y) \in \mathbf{E}$ is representable and surjective and $Y' \in \mathbf{D}$, we have that $Y \in \mathbf{D}$. In particular, if there exists a representable and surjective morphism $Y \to X$ in $\mathbf{E}$ with $Y \in \mathbf{D}$ then $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{E}$.

Note that the morphisms in $\mathbf{E}$ are not necessarily representable nor separated. In Theorem (3.4), even if X' and U have separated diagonals, the push-out X need not unless p_U is representable. We are thus naturally led to include algebraic stacks with non-separated diagonals.

4. Approximation of modules and algebras

A stack X is *pseudo-noetherian* if X is quasi-compact and quasi-separated and for every finitely presented morphism $X' \to X$ and quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-module $\mathcal{F}'$, we can write $\mathcal{F}'$ as a filtered direct limit of finitely presented $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-modules. In this section we prove Theorem A, that is, that every stack of approximation type is *pseudo-noetherian*. It is known that noetherian stacks are pseudo-noetherian [LMB00, Prop. 15.4] but the non-noetherian case requires completely different methods.

(4.1) Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated algebraic stack. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be one of the following categories.

(i) The category of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules.
(ii) The category of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras.
(iii) The category of integral quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras.

If $U \subseteq X$ is an open subset we denote the corresponding category of $\mathcal{O}_U$-modules by $\mathbf{C}_U$. Consider the following statements:

Completeness

(C1) Every object in $\mathbf{C}$ is the direct limit of its subobjects of finite type.
(C2) Every object in $\mathbf{C}$ is a filtered direct limit of finitely presented objects in $\mathbf{C}$.

Presentation — Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an object in $\mathbf{C}$ of finite type.

(P1) There exists a finitely presented object $\mathcal{P}$ and a surjection $\mathcal{P} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$.
(P2) There is a filtered direct system of finitely presented objects in $\mathbf{C}$ with surjective bounding maps and limit $\mathcal{F}$.

Extension — Let $U \subseteq X$ be a quasi-compact open subset.

(E1) If $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{C}_U$ is of finite type (resp. finite presentation), then there exists an object $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbf{C}$ of finite type (resp. finite presentation) such that $\mathcal{H}|_U = \mathcal{G}$.
(E2) If $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{C}$ is arbitrary and $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{C}_U$ is of finite type (resp. finite presentation), together with a homomorphism $u\colon \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{F}|_U$, then there exists an object $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbf{C}$ of finite type (resp. finite presentation) and a homomorphism $v\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{F}$ extending $\mathcal{G}$ and u. To be precise, there exists an isomorphism $\theta\colon \mathcal{H}|_U \to \mathcal{G}$ such that $v|_U = u \circ \theta$.

Note that (C1) follows from (C2), that (P1) follows from (P2) and that (E1) is a special case of (E2) (take $\mathcal{F} = 0$). Also, given $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$ and u as in (E2), there is a *universal* extension $v\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{F}$ of u if we drop the condition that $\mathcal{H}$ is of finite type. Indeed, if $j\colon U \to X$ is the inclusion morphism, the universal solution is $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F} \times_{j_*j^*\mathcal{F}} j_*\mathcal{G}$ together with the projection onto the first factor. If $\mathbf{C}$ is the category of integral $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras, the universal solution is the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_X$ in $\mathcal{H}$ (as a subring of $\mathcal{H}$). If u is injective then so is v.

Definition (4.2). Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated stack. We say X has the *completeness property* if the six properties (C1), (C2), (P1), (P2), (E1) and (E2) hold for X and the categories of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules, $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras and integral $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras.

Note that if X has the completeness property, then so has $U \subseteq X$ for any quasi-compact open subset. We introduce the auxiliary condition:

(C2*) For every object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{C}$ there is a filtered direct system of finitely presented objects $\mathcal{F}_\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ and a surjection $\varinjlim_\lambda \mathcal{F}_\lambda \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

Lemma (4.3). *Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated stack. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be one of the three categories in* (4.1)*. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

(i) (C1) *and* (P1) *hold for X and $\mathbf{C}$.*
(ii) (C2*) *holds for X and $\mathbf{C}$.*
(iii) (C2) *holds for X and $\mathbf{C}$.*
(iv) *X has all six properties for $\mathbf{C}$.*

Moreover, if X has property (C2) *for the category of quasi-coherent modules, then X has the completeness property.*

Proof. Clearly (C2) $\Longrightarrow$ (C2*) $\Longrightarrow$ (C1)+(P1) (to see the second implication, pass to a presentation of X by an affine scheme). As we noted above (E1) is a special case of (E2). We will show three other implications from which the first part of the lemma follows.

(C1)+(P1) $\Longrightarrow$ (P2): Let $\mathcal{F}$ be of finite type and let $\mathcal{P} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a surjection with $\mathcal{P}$ finitely presented. Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ be the kernel. Then $\mathcal{K}$ is the limit of its submodules (or subideals) $\mathcal{K}_\lambda$ of finite type and it follows that $\mathcal{F} = \varinjlim \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{K}_\lambda$ is a limit of finitely presented objects.

(C1)+(P1) $\Longrightarrow$ (C2): Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a quasi-coherent sheaf. Then $\mathcal{F} = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in L} \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ where $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ is of finite type. Let $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ be a finitely presented object with a surjection onto $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$. For a finite subset $J \subseteq L$ we let $\mathcal{P}_J$ be the coproduct of $\{\mathcal{P}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in J}$ in $\mathbf{C}$ and let $\mathcal{K}_J$ be the kernel of the induced homomorphism $\mathcal{P}_J \to \mathcal{F}$. Consider the set of pairs $\alpha = (J, \mathcal{R}_J)$ where $J \subseteq L$ is a finite subset and $\mathcal{R}_J \subseteq \mathcal{K}_J$ is a submodule (or subideal) of finite type. Then $\mathcal{F} = \varinjlim_\alpha \mathcal{P}_J/\mathcal{R}_J$ is a filtered direct limit of finitely presented objects (cf. proof of [EGA$_\mathrm{I}$, Cor. 6.9.12]).

(C1)+(P1) $\Longrightarrow$ (E2): Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a quasi-coherent sheaf on U of finite type (resp. of finite presentation) and let $u\colon \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{F}|_U$ be a homomorphism as in (E2). Let $v\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{F}$ be the universal extension. Then as $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H}|_U$ is of finite type, it follows from (C1) that there exists a subsheaf $\mathcal{H}' \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ of finite type which restricts to $\mathcal{G}$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is finitely presented, write $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{K}$ with $\mathcal{P}$ of finite presentation. Then $\mathcal{K}|_U$ is of finite type and hence by (C1) there exists a submodule (or subideal) $\mathcal{K}' \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ of finite type which restricts to $\mathcal{K}|_U$. The homomorphism $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{K}' \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{F}$ is the requested extension of u.

To prove the last statement, assume that X has property (C2) for the category of quasi-coherent sheaves of modules. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a sheaf of algebras on X. Considering $\mathcal{A}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module, we can then write $\mathcal{A} = \varinjlim_\lambda \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ as a filtered direct limit of finitely presented modules. If we then let $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ be the symmetric product of $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$, we have a surjection $\varinjlim_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\lambda \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ as in (C2*). This settles the completeness property for the category of algebras.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is an integral algebra, then it is a direct limit of its integral subalgebras since any subalgebra of an integral algebra is integral. This settles (C1)

for the category of integral algebras. If $\mathcal{A}$ is of finite type then we can, using (P2) for the category of algebras, write $\mathcal{A}$ as a filtered direct limit of finitely presented algebras $\mathcal{B}_\lambda$ with surjective bounding maps. Then $\mathcal{B}_\lambda$ is integral for sufficiently large λ. Indeed, this is easily verified after passing to an affine presentation. This shows (P1) for the category of integral algebras. □

Remark **(4.4).** Let X be a stack with the completeness property and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf in one of the categories referred to above. If U is a quasi-compact open such that $\mathcal{F}|_U$ is of finite type (resp. of finite presentation), then $\mathcal{F}$ is the direct limit of its finite type subsheaves (resp. a filtered direct limit of finitely presented sheaves) $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ such that $\mathcal{F}_\lambda|_U \to \mathcal{F}|_U$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, this follows by a similar argument as in the proof that (C1)+(P1) implies (C2) above.

Proposition (4.5). *Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated stack with the completeness property and let $f\colon X' \to X$ be quasi-affine. Then X' has the completeness property.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-module. Since X has the completeness property we can write $f_*\mathcal{F} = \varinjlim_\lambda \mathcal{G}_\lambda$ as a filtered direct limit of finitely presented $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. As f is quasi-affine, the adjunction homomorphism $f^*f_*\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ is surjective. We thus obtain a surjection $\varinjlim_\lambda f^*\mathcal{G}_\lambda \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ so condition (C2*) holds for X' and the stack X' has the completeness property by Lemma (4.3). □

For an affine scheme properties (C1) and (P1) are straight-forward and hence any quasi-affine scheme has the completeness property. More generally, the completeness property has been shown for quasi-compact and quasi-separated schemes by Grothendieck [EGA$_\mathrm{I}$, §6.9], for *noetherian* algebraic spaces by Knutson [Knu71, Thm. III.1.1, Cor. III.1.2] and for *noetherian* algebraic stacks by Laumon and Moret-Bailly [LMB00, Prop. 15.4].

Definition (4.6). An algebraic stack X is *pseudo-noetherian* if X is quasi-compact, quasi-separated and X' has the completeness property for any finitely presented morphism $X' \to X$ of algebraic stacks.

In particular, any noetherian stack is pseudo-noetherian.

Proposition (4.7). *Let S be a pseudo-noetherian stack and let $X \to S$ be of strict approximation type. Then:*

(i) *There is a factorization of $X \to S$ into an affine morphism $X \to X_0$ followed by a finitely presented morphism $X_0 \to S$.*
(ii) *X is pseudo-noetherian.*

In particular, stacks of strict approximation type (e.g., quasi-affine schemes) are pseudo-noetherian.

Proof. It is enough to prove (i) when there is a factorization $X \to Y \to S$ such that $X \to Y$ is finitely presented and $Y \to S$ is affine. Since S has the completeness property, we can write $Y = \varprojlim_\lambda Y_\lambda$ where $Y_\lambda \to S$ are finitely presented and affine morphisms. For sufficiently large λ, there is a morphism $X_\lambda \to Y_\lambda$ of finite presentation between algebraic stacks such that $X = X_\lambda \times_{Y_\lambda} Y$. This follows from Proposition (B.2). The requested

factorization is given by letting $X_0 = X_\lambda$ since $X \to X_\lambda$ is affine and $X_\lambda \to Y_\lambda \to S$ is of finite presentation.

(ii) Let $X' \to X$ be of finite presentation. We have to show that X' has the completeness property. As $X' \to X \to S$ is of strict approximation type we have a factorization $X' \to X'_0 \to S$ consisting of an affine morphism followed by a finitely presented morphism. It follows from Proposition (4.5) that X' has the completeness property since X'_0 has the completeness property by definition.

The last statement follows from the fact that $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is pseudo-noetherian. □

The proof of the following result is inspired by a similar argument due to P. Gross.

Lemma (4.8). *Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated stack and let $p\colon X' \to X$ be finite and faithfully flat of finite presentation. If X' has the completeness property, then so has X. It follows that X is pseudo-noetherian if and only if X' is pseudo-noetherian.*

Proof. Assume that X' has the completeness property. By Lemma (4.3) it is enough to show that (C2*) holds for X. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Recall that $p_*\colon \mathbf{QCoh}(X') \to \mathbf{QCoh}(X)$ has a right adjoint $p^!\colon \mathbf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathbf{QCoh}(X')$ defined by

$$p^!\mathcal{F} = p^{-1}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(p_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{p^{-1}p_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}} \mathcal{O}_{X'}.$$

Moreover, the adjunction homomorphism $p_*p^!\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ is surjective since p is faithfully flat. Write $p^!\mathcal{F}$ as a filtered direct limit of finitely presented $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-modules $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$. Then $\varinjlim_\lambda p_*\mathcal{G}_\lambda = p_*p^!\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ is surjective and (C2*) holds for X. □

For our immediate purposes we only need Lemma (4.8) for finite étale coverings in which case $p^! = p^{-1}$.

We now come to the step that involves étale neighborhoods. The method is inspired by Raynaud and Gruson's proof of property (C1) for quasi-compact and quasi-separated algebraic spaces [RG71, Prop. 5.7.8].

Lemma (4.9). *Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated stack, let $U \subseteq X$ be a quasi-compact open substack and let $p\colon X' \to X$ be a finitely presented étale neighborhood of $X \setminus U$. If X' and U have the completeness property, then so has X. In particular, if X' and U are pseudo-noetherian, then so is X.*

Proof. Let $U' = p^{-1}(U)$. By Lemma (4.3) it is enough to show that (C1) and (P1) hold for X.

We begin with (C1). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a quasi-coherent sheaf on X. As X' has property (C1), we have that $p^*\mathcal{F}$ is the direct limit of its subsheaves of finite type. It is thus enough to show that if $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq p^*\mathcal{F}$ is of finite type, then there exists $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ of finite type such that $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq p^*\mathcal{H}$. As U has property (C1), there is a subsheaf $\mathcal{H}_U \subseteq \mathcal{F}|_U$ of finite type on U such that $\mathcal{G}'|_{U'} \subseteq (p|_U)^*\mathcal{H}_U$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{G}'} \subseteq p^*\mathcal{F}$ be the universal extension of $(p|_U)^*\mathcal{H}_U \subseteq p^*\mathcal{F}|_{U'}$ so that $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{G}'} \subseteq p^*\mathcal{F}$. As $\mathcal{G}'$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}'}|_{U'} = (p|_U)^*\mathcal{H}_U$ are of finite type, it follows

from property (C1) on X' that there exists a subsheaf $\mathcal{H}' \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{G}'}$ of finite type containing $\mathcal{G}'$ and restricting to $(p|_U)^*\mathcal{H}_U$ over U'. By Theorem (3.2), there is a subsheaf $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ of finite type with isomorphisms $\mathcal{H}|_U \cong \mathcal{H}_U$ and $p^*\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}'$. This settles property (C1).

We continue with property (P1). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a quasi-coherent sheaf on X of finite type. As U has property (P2), we can write $\mathcal{F}|_U$ as a direct limit $\varinjlim \mathcal{P}_{U,\lambda}$ of finitely presented sheaves on U with surjective bounding maps. As X' has property (P1), there is a finitely presented sheaf $\mathcal{Q}'$ on X' and a surjection $\mathcal{Q}' \twoheadrightarrow p^*\mathcal{F}$. For sufficiently large λ we have a factorization

$$\mathcal{Q}'|_{U'} \to (p|_U)^*\mathcal{P}_{U,\lambda} \twoheadrightarrow p^*\mathcal{F}|_{p^{-1}(U)},$$

cf. pf. of [EGA$_{\mathrm{IV}}$, Thm. 8.5.2]. Moreover, after increasing λ we may assume that the homomorphism $\mathcal{Q}'|_{U'} \to (p|_U)^*\mathcal{P}_{U,\lambda}$ is surjective.

Let $\mathcal{K}' = \ker(\mathcal{Q}' \twoheadrightarrow p^*\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{N}'_{U'} = \ker(\mathcal{Q}'|_{U'} \twoheadrightarrow (p|_U)^*\mathcal{P}_{U,\lambda}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}'|_{U'}$. As $\mathcal{N}'_{U'}$ is of finite type, there exists by (E2), a subsheaf $\mathcal{N}' \subseteq \mathcal{K}'$ of finite type such that $\mathcal{N}'|_{U'} = \mathcal{N}'_{U'}$. Let $\mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{N}'$. This is a finitely presented sheaf on X' with a surjection onto $p^*\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{P}'|_{U'} = (p|_U)^*\mathcal{P}_{U,\lambda}$. By Theorem (3.2), there is a finitely presented $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{P}$ and a surjection $\mathcal{P} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ which restricts to $\mathcal{P}_{U,\lambda} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U$ and $\mathcal{P}' \twoheadrightarrow p^*\mathcal{F}$ over U and X'. □

Proposition (4.10). *Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated stack and let $p\colon X' \to X$ be étale, representable, surjective and of finite presentation. Then X is pseudo-noetherian if and only if X' is pseudo-noetherian.*

Proof. The condition is necessary by definition. To show that it is sufficient, let $\mathbf{C} \subseteq \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{Stack}_{\mathrm{fp,\acute{e}t}/X}$ be the full subcategory with objects étale and finitely presented morphisms $Y \to X$ such that Y is pseudo-noetherian. By the definition of pseudo-noetherian stack, the category $\mathbf{C}$ satisfies condition (D1) of Theorem (3.5). That $\mathbf{C}$ satisfies conditions (D2) and (D3) follows from Lemmas (4.8) and (4.9). Since $X' \in \mathbf{C}$ we can thus conclude from Theorem (3.5) that $X \in \mathbf{C}$, i.e., that X is pseudo-noetherian. □

Corollary (4.11). *Every quasi-compact and quasi-separated Deligne–Mumford stack is pseudo-noetherian.*

Finally, we prove Theorem A, that is, that every stack of approximation type is pseudo-noetherian.

Theorem (4.12). *Let X be a pseudo-noetherian stack and let $X' \to X$ be of approximation type. Then X' is pseudo-noetherian. In particular, stacks of approximation type are pseudo-noetherian.*

Proof. As $X' \to X$ is of approximation type, there is a surjective representable and finitely presented étale morphism $X'' \to X'$ such that $X'' \to X$ is of strict approximation type. By Proposition (4.7) we have that X'' is pseudo-noetherian and it follows that X' is pseudo-noetherian by Proposition (4.10). The last statement follows from the fact that $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is pseudo-noetherian. □

5. Finite coverings of stacks

In this section we prove Theorem B, that is, that every quasi-compact stack X with quasi-finite and separated diagonal admits a finite surjective

morphism of finite presentation from a *scheme* Z which is flat over a dense quasi-compact open subset $U \subseteq X$. Furthermore, if X is Deligne–Mumford, then there is such a Z which is étale over U.

Lemma (5.1) (Variant of Zariski's Main Theorem)**.** *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a representable quasi-finite and separated morphism of algebraic stacks such that $\mathcal{O}_Y \to f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is injective and integrally closed. Then f is an open immersion.*

Proof. As the question is fppf-local on Y and the integral closure commutes with smooth base change [LMB00, Prop. 16.2], we can assume that Y is an affine scheme. The result then follows from Zariski's Main Theorem [EGA$_{\mathrm{IV}}$, 18.12.13 and 8.12.3]. □

Proof of Theorem B. Let $\pi\colon X' \to X$ be a separated and quasi-finite flat (resp. separated and quasi-compact étale) presentation, as exists by [Ryd10a, Thm. 7.1]. The separable fiber rank of π is constructible and lower semi-continuous [EGA$_{\mathrm{IV}}$, Cor. 9.7.9, Prop. 15.5.9]. There is thus a quasi-compact open dense subset $U \subseteq X$ such that the separable rank is locally constant on U. Let $U = U_1 \amalg U_2 \amalg \cdots \amalg U_n$ be the decomposition into open and closed substacks such that π has constant separable fiber rank d over U_d. The theorem follows if we construct a scheme Z_d and a finite and finitely presented morphism $Z_d \to X$ which is flat (resp. étale) over U_d and has image $\overline{U_d}$.

To simplify notation, put $U = U_d$ and let $U' = \pi^{-1}(U)$. Let $(U'/U)^d = U' \times_U U' \times_U \cdots \times_U U'$ and let $V = \mathrm{SEC}_d(U'/U) \subseteq (U'/U)^d$ be the open subscheme given by the complement of the union of all diagonals. The structure morphism $V \to U$ is quasi-finite, flat, finitely presented and separated with fibers of separable rank $d!$. It follows that $V \to U$ is finite [EGA$_{\mathrm{IV}}$, Prop. 15.5.9]. If π is étale, then $V \to U$ is also étale. Let $p\colon W \to X$ be the normalization of X in V. Then p is surjective and integral, the restriction $p|_U\colon V \to U$ is flat and of finite presentation (resp. étale) and $\overline{p(W)} = \overline{U}$. We will now show that W is a scheme.

Let $W' = W \times_X X'$ and $V' = V \times_U U'$ so that W' is a scheme. We then have d sections $s_i\colon V \to V'$ such that $\bigcup_i s_i(V) = V'$ as *sets*. Let $Y_i = \overline{s_i(V)}$ be the scheme-theoretic closure in W'. Then $W' = \bigcup_i Y_i$ as sets since $\pi_W\colon W' \to W$ is flat. As W is integrally closed in V and $s_i(V) \cong V$ is schematically dense in Y_i, we have that $\mathcal{O}_W \to (\pi_W)_*\mathcal{O}_{Y_i}$ is injective and integrally closed. Thus $(\pi_W)|_{Y_i}\colon Y_i \to W$ is an open immersion by Lemma (5.1). In particular, we have that $W = \bigcup_i Y_i$ is a *scheme*. The morphism $p\colon W \to X$ is integral and surjective and the restriction $p|_U\colon V \to U$ is finite, flat and finitely presented (resp. étale). The final step is to approximate p with a finitely presented morphism $p_\lambda\colon W_\lambda \to X$ such that W_λ is a scheme.

Let $\mathcal{A} = p_*\mathcal{O}_W$. We now use that X has the completeness property (Theorem A) and write $\mathcal{A}$ as a direct limit of finite and finitely presented algebras $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ such that $(\mathcal{A}_\lambda)|_U = \mathcal{A}|_U$, cf. Remark (4.4). Let $W_\lambda = \mathrm{Spec}_X(\mathcal{A}_\lambda)$ so that $V = U \times_X W_\lambda$. Since $Y_i \to W$ is an open immersion, we have that $Y_i \hookrightarrow W'$ is a finitely presented closed immersion. By standard limit methods, there exists λ and a finitely presented closed immersion $(Y_i)_\lambda \hookrightarrow W'_\lambda$ of schemes

which pull-backs to $Y_i \hookrightarrow W'$. After increasing λ we can further assume, by Proposition (B.3), that the composition $(Y_i)_\lambda \to W'_\lambda \to W_\lambda$ is an open immersion and such that $\coprod_i (Y_i)_\lambda \to W_\lambda$ is an open covering. In particular, we have that W_λ is a scheme. □

6. Properties stable under approximation

Let $X = \varprojlim_\lambda X_\lambda$ be an inverse limit of finitely presented stacks over S. In this section we prove Theorem C which states that if $X \to S$ has a certain property P then so has $X_\lambda \to S$ for sufficiently large λ. This result is more elementary than the previous theorems and essentially independent of these. In fact, we only use the previous results when P is either "separated" or "proper with finite diagonal". Most of the properties is deduced by passing to the diagonal via the following lemma:

Lemma (6.1). *Let S be a quasi-compact algebraic stack and let $X = \varprojlim_{\lambda\in L} X_\lambda$ be a limit of finitely presented S-stacks.*

(i) *The morphisms $g_\lambda \colon X \times_{X_\lambda} X \to X \times_S X$ are representable and of finite presentation. For every $\mu \geq \lambda$ the morphism $g_{\mu\lambda} \colon X \times_{X_\mu} X \to X \times_{X_\lambda} X$ is a closed immersion.*
(ii) *The inverse system $\{g_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in L}$ has limit $\Delta_{X/S} \colon X \to X \times_S X$.*

Proof. The morphism $X \times_{X_\lambda} X \to X \times_S X$ is a pull-back of the diagonal $\Delta_{X_\lambda/S}$ and hence representable and of finite presentation. The bounding map $g_{\mu\lambda}$ is a pull-back of the diagonal of the affine morphism $X_\mu \to X_\lambda$.

Let L be the limit stack of the inverse system $\{g_\lambda\}$. By the universal property of the inverse limit L, the diagonals $X \hookrightarrow X \times_{X_\lambda} X$ factors through L and the resulting map $X \to L$ is a monomorphism. Similarly, by the universal property of X, the two projections $\pi_1, \pi_2 \colon L \to X \times_{X_\lambda} X \to X$ coincide. It follows that $L \to X \times_{X_\lambda} X$ factors through Δ_{X/X_λ} and hence that $L = X$. □

Proposition (6.2) ([TT90, Prop. C.6])**.** *Let S be a quasi-compact algebraic stack and let $X = \varprojlim_{\lambda\in L} X_\lambda$ be a limit of finitely presented S-stacks. If $X \to S$ is affine (resp. quasi-affine), then there is an index α such that $X_\lambda \to S$ is affine (resp. quasi-affine) for every $\lambda \geq \alpha$.*

Proof. We will first show the proposition under the assumption that the morphisms $X_\lambda \to S$ have separated diagonals.

The question is local on S in the fppf topology, so we can assume that S is an affine scheme. Let $\overline{X} = \operatorname{Spec}(\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X))$ be the affine hull of X so that $X \to \overline{X}$ is a quasi-compact open immersion ($X = \overline{X}$ if X is affine). Since S is affine, we can write $\overline{X}$ as an inverse limit $\varprojlim_{\mu\in M} \overline{X'}_\mu$ of finitely presented affine S-schemes. By Remark (B.4), there is an index μ_0 and an open quasi-compact subset $X'_{\mu_0} \subseteq \overline{X'}_{\mu_0}$ with inverse image X in $\overline{X}$. We let $X'_\mu = X'_{\mu_0} \times_{\overline{X'}_{\mu_0}} \overline{X'}_\mu$ for all $\mu \geq \mu_0$ so that $X = \varprojlim_{\mu\in M} X'_\mu$ becomes a limit of finitely presented affine (resp. quasi-affine) S-schemes.

Let $\alpha_0 \in L$ be an index. By the functorial characterization of finitely presented morphisms, Proposition (B.1), there are indices $\alpha \in L$ and $\beta \in M$

and morphisms

$$X_\alpha \to X'_\beta \to X_{\alpha_0}$$

and after increasing α, we can assume that the composition coincides with the bounding map of the system (X_λ) and hence is affine. As X'_β is affine (resp. quasi-affine) and X_{α_0} has separated diagonal, we have that $X'_\beta \to X_{\alpha_0}$ is separated. It follows that $X_\alpha \to X'_\beta$ is affine and hence that X_λ is affine (resp. quasi-affine) for every $\lambda \geq \alpha$.

For general X_λ, we first apply the proposition to the inverse system of representable morphisms (g_λ) in Lemma (6.1) and deduce that for sufficiently large λ, the diagonal $\Delta_{X_\lambda/S}$ is affine so that the special case applies. □

Proposition (6.3). *Let S be a quasi-compact algebraic stack and let $X = \varprojlim_\lambda X_\lambda$ be an inverse limit of finitely presented S-stacks such that $X_\mu \to X_\lambda$ is a closed immersion for every $\mu \geq \lambda$. If $X \to S$ has one of the following properties:*

(i) *a monomorphism,*
(ii) *universally injective (i.e., "radiciel"),*
(iii) *representable,*
(iv) *unramified,*
(v) *quasi-finite,*
(vi) *finite,*
(vii) *a closed immersion,*
(viii) *an immersion;*

then there exists α such that $X_\lambda \to S$ has the same property for all $\lambda \geq \alpha$.

If in addition the X_λ's and X are S-group schemes such that $X_\mu \hookrightarrow X_\lambda$ is a subgroup for every $\mu \geq \lambda$, then the same conclusion holds for the properties:

(ix) *abelian fibers,*
(x) *quasi-finite with linearly reductive fibers.*

Proof. As the properties are local in the fppf topology and S is quasi-compact, we can assume that S is an affine scheme. Note that $X \hookrightarrow X_\lambda$ are closed immersions for every λ so that $X \to S$ is of finite type. It follows from Lemma (A.1) that properties (i)–(v) can be checked on fibers. Let P be one of these five properties or one of the properties (ix)–(x) for group schemes. We let $U_\lambda \subseteq S$ be the set of points $s \in S$ such that $(X_\lambda)_s \to \operatorname{Spec}(k(s))$ has property P. Then $U_\lambda \subseteq S$ is constructible by Propositions (A.3) and (A.4).

As a closed immersion has property P, it follows that $U_\lambda \subseteq U_\mu$ if $\lambda \leq \mu$. If $s \in S$ is any point, then as $X_s \to \operatorname{Spec}(k(s))$ is of finite type, we have that $X_s = (X_\lambda)_s$ for sufficiently large λ. It thus follows that $S = \bigcup U_\lambda$. As the constructible topology is quasi-compact, it follows that $U_\lambda = S$ for sufficiently large λ. This completes the demonstration of properties (i)–(v) and (ix)–(x).

Now assume that $X \to S$ is a closed immersion (resp. finite). By Proposition (6.2) we can assume that the maps $X_\lambda \to S$ are affine. Let $S = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, $X_\lambda = \operatorname{Spec}(B_\lambda)$ and $X = \operatorname{Spec}(B)$. Choose an index λ and generators $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \in B_\lambda$. The image of b_i in B lifts to A (resp. satisfies a monic equation with coefficients in A). If $a_i \in A$ is a lifting, then the images of a_i and b_i coincides in B_μ (resp. the image of b_i in B_μ satisfies the monic

equation) for some $\mu \geq \lambda$. As $B_\lambda \to B_\mu$ is surjective it follows that $A \to B_\mu$ is surjective (resp. finite). This settles properties (vii) and (vi).

If $X \to S$ is an immersion, then let $U \subseteq S$ be an open subset containing the image of X such that $X \to U$ is a closed immersion. As U is ind-constructible, it follows that $X_\lambda \to S$ factors through U for sufficiently large λ by [$\mathrm{EGA_{IV}}$, Cor. 8.3.4]. Property (viii) thus follows from property (vii). □

Corollary (6.4). *Let S be a quasi-compact algebraic stack and let $X = \varprojlim_\lambda X_\lambda$ be an inverse limit of finitely presented S-stacks. If the diagonal of $X \to S$ has one of the properties:*

(i) *a monomorphism,*
(ii) *unramified,*
(iii) *quasi-finite,*
(iv) *finite,*
(v) *a closed immersion,*
(vi) *an immersion,*
(vii) *affine,*
(viii) *quasi-affine,*
(ix) *separated,*
(x) *locally separated;*

then there exists α such that the diagonal of $X_\lambda \to S$ has the same property for all $\lambda \geq \alpha$. In particular, if X/S has one of the properties: representable, representable and separated, representable and locally separated, relatively Deligne–Mumford, etc.; then so has X_λ/S.

If the inertia of $X \to S$ has one of the properties:

(xi) *finite,*
(xii) *abelian fibers,*
(xiii) *quasi-finite with linearly reductive fibers;*

then there exists α such that the inertia of $X_\lambda \to S$ has the same property for all $\lambda \geq \alpha$.

Proof. Let P be one of the properties (i)–(viii). By Lemma (6.1), the diagonal $X \to X \times_S X$ is the inverse limit of the finitely presented morphisms $X \times_{X_\lambda} X \to X \times_S X$ and the bounding maps $X \times_{X_\mu} X \to X \times_{X_\lambda} X$ are closed immersions. It thus follows from Propositions (6.2) and (6.3) that if the diagonal of X/S has property P, then so has $X \times_{X_\lambda} X \to X \times_S X$ for sufficiently large λ. As $X \times_S X$ is the inverse limit of $X_\mu \times_S X_\mu$, it follows by standard limit results, Proposition (B.3), that that $X_\mu \times_{X_\lambda} X_\mu \to X_\mu \times_S X_\mu$ has property P for sufficiently large $\mu \geq \lambda$. As the diagonal $X_\mu \to X_\mu \times_{X_\lambda} X_\mu$ is a closed immersion, it follows that the diagonal of X_μ/S has property P. For properties (ix) and (x) we reason as above using that we have proven the Corollary for properties (v) and (vi).

Let P be one of the properties (xi)–(xiii). The pull-back of the inverse system $X \times_{X_\lambda} X \to X \times_S X$ along the diagonal $\Delta_{X/S}$ gives the inverse system $I_{X_\lambda/S} \times_{X_\lambda} X \to X$ with inverse limit the inertia $I_{X/S} \to X$. As the bounding maps in the first system are closed immersions, we have that the bounding maps in the second system are closed subgroups. It thus follows from Proposition (6.3) that if the inertia $I_{X/S} \to X$ has property P then so

has $I_{X_\lambda/S} \times_{X_\lambda} X \to X$ for all sufficiently large λ. By standard limit results, Proposition (B.3), it follows that $I_{X_\lambda/S} \times_{X_\lambda} X_\mu \to X_\mu$ has property P for all sufficiently large $\mu \geq \lambda$ and, a fortiori, so has $I_{X_\mu/S} \hookrightarrow I_{X_\lambda/S} \times_{X_\lambda} X_\mu \to X_\mu$. □

Corollary (6.5). *Let S be a quasi-compact algebraic stack and let $X = \varprojlim_\lambda X_\lambda$ be an inverse limit of finitely presented S-stacks such that $X_\mu \to X_\lambda$ is a* closed immersion *for every $\mu \geq \lambda$. If $X \to S$ is proper with finite diagonal then so is $X_\lambda \to S$ for all sufficiently large λ.*

Proof. The question is fppf-local on S so we can assume that S is affine. By Corollary (6.4) we can assume that $X_\lambda \to S$ has finite diagonal. Then there exists a scheme Z_λ and a finite and finitely presented surjective morphism $Z_\lambda \to X_\lambda$ by Theorem B. We let $Z_\mu = Z_\lambda \times_{X_\lambda} X_\mu$ for all $\mu > \lambda$ and $Z = Z_\lambda \times_{X_\lambda} X$. It is then enough to show that $Z_\mu \to S$ is proper for sufficiently large $\mu \geq \lambda$.

Since $Z_\lambda \to S$ is separated and of finite presentation (and S is affine), there is by Nagata's compactification theorem [Lüt93] a proper morphism $\overline{Z_\lambda} \to S$ and an open immersion $Z_\lambda \subseteq \overline{Z_\lambda}$. Then $Z \to \overline{Z_\lambda}$ is a closed immersion and it follows from Proposition (6.3) that $Z_\mu \to \overline{Z_\lambda}$ is a closed immersion for sufficiently large μ. This shows that $Z_\mu \to S$ is proper. □

Corollary (6.6). *Let S be a quasi-compact algebraic stack and let $X = \varprojlim_\lambda X_\lambda$ be an inverse limit of finitely presented S-stacks. If $X \to S$ is separated, then there exists α such that $X_\lambda \to S$ is separated for every $\lambda \geq \alpha$.*

Proof. Reason as in the proof of Corollary (6.4) using Corollary (6.5). □

Proof of Theorem C. This is Propositions (6.2), (6.3) and Corollaries (6.4), (6.5) and (6.6). □

7. Approximation of schemes and stacks

Recall that any stack of approximation type is pseudo-noetherian, Theorem A, and that any stack which is affine over a noetherian stack is pseudo-noetherian, Proposition (4.7). Conversely, it is possible that every pseudo-noetherian stack is affine over a noetherian stack. Theorem D shows that this is indeed the case for stacks of approximation type.

Definition (7.1). Let S be a pseudo-noetherian stack and let $X \to S$ be a morphism of stacks. An *approximation* of X over S is a finitely presented S-stack X_0 together with an affine S-morphism $X \to X_0$. We say that X/S can be approximated if there exists an approximation of X over S. Let P be a property of morphisms. We say that X/S can be P-approximated if there exists an approximation $X_0 \to S$ with property P.

Let S be pseudo-noetherian. Then Proposition (4.7) states that $X \to S$ has an approximation if and only if $X \to S$ is of strict approximation type. Moreover, if $X \to S$ has an approximation $X \to X_0 \to S$ then X and X_0 are pseudo-noetherian.

The following two propositions are analogues of properties (C1)–(C2) and (P1)–(P2) under the assumption that X/S can be approximated.

Proposition (7.2) (Completeness)**.** *Let X/S be an algebraic stack which can be approximated. Then*

(i) *$X = \varprojlim_\lambda X_\lambda$ such that $X_\lambda \to S$ is of finite type and $X \to X_\lambda$ is schematically dominant.*
(ii) *$X = \varprojlim_\lambda X_\lambda$ such that $X_\lambda \to S$ is of finite presentation.*

Proposition (7.3) (Presentation)**.** *Let X/S be an algebraic stack of* finite type *which can be approximated. Then*

(i) *There exists a finitely presented S-stack X_0 together with a closed immersion $X \hookrightarrow X_0$ over S.*
(ii) *$X = \varprojlim_\lambda X_\lambda$ such that $X_\lambda \to S$ is of finite presentation and $X_\mu \to X_\lambda$ is a closed immersion for every $\mu \geq \lambda$.*

Proofs. Let $X \to X_0 \to S$ be an approximation and apply the completeness properties (C1)–(C2) and (P1)–(P2) on the affine morphism $f\colon X \to X_0$ (i.e., on the sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}$-algebras $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$). □

Remark **(7.4).** If $X \to S$ has an approximation and $U \subseteq X$ is a quasi-compact open subset, then by standard limit methods, cf. Remark (B.4), there exists an approximation $X_0 \to S$ of X and a quasi-compact open subset $U_0 \subseteq X_0$ such that $U = U_0 \times_{X_0} X$. We say that $(U_0 \subseteq X_0) \to S$ is an approximation of $(U \subseteq X) \to S$.

If $X \to S$ is affine, then X has a trivial approximation, namely S itself. At first, this hardly appears to be an "approximation" but the crucial point is that we assume that S is pseudo-noetherian. Then the statement that $X \to S$ can be approximated implies that X is the inverse limit of finitely presented and affine S-schemes.

Proposition (7.5). *Let S be a pseudo-noetherian stack. If X is a stack which is affine (resp. quasi-affine) over S, then X can be approximated by a stack which is affine (resp. quasi-affine) over S.*

Proof. The affine part is trivial, cf. the preceding discussion. If $f\colon X \to S$ is quasi-affine, let $\overline{X} = \operatorname{Spec}_S(f_*(X))$ so that $X \to \overline{X}$ is a quasi-compact open immersion and $\overline{X} \to S$ is affine. By Remark (7.4) there is an approximation $(X \subseteq \overline{X}) \to (X_0 \subseteq \overline{X}_0) \to S$. The morphism $X_0 \to S$ is a quasi-affine approximation of $X \to S$. □

The following proposition is an analogue of (E2).

Proposition (7.6) (Extension)**.** *Let $X \to S$ be a morphism of pseudo-noetherian stacks and let P be a property of morphisms stable under composition with affine morphisms. Let $U \subseteq X$ be a quasi-compact open substack and let $U = \varprojlim_\lambda U_\lambda$ be an inverse limit of finitely presented S-stacks. If $X \to S$ can be P-approximated, then there exists an index α such that for any $\lambda \geq \alpha$, the approximation $U \to U_\lambda \to S$ extends to a P-approximation $(U \subseteq X) \to (U_\lambda \subseteq X_\lambda) \to S$.*

Proof. By Remark (7.4) there is a P-approximation $(U_0 \subseteq X_0) \to S$ of $(U \subseteq X) \to S$. As $U_0 \to S$ is finitely presented, the morphism $U \to U_0$ lifts to $U_\lambda \to U_0$ for sufficiently large λ by Proposition (B.1). This gives us the

cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \longrightarrow & U_\lambda & \longrightarrow & U_0 \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ X & & \longrightarrow & & X_0. \end{array}$$

As $U \to U_0$ is affine, we have that $U_\lambda \to U_0$ is affine for sufficiently large λ by Proposition (6.2). By assumption X_0 has the completeness property and we can thus, using (E2), extend the diagram above to a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \longrightarrow & U_\lambda & \longrightarrow & U_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & X_\lambda & \longrightarrow & X_0 \end{array}$$

where $X_\lambda \to X_0$ is affine and finitely presented. The pair $(U_\lambda \subseteq X_\lambda) \to S$ is a P-approximation of $(U \subseteq X) \to S$. □

Lemma (7.7). *Let $X \to S$ be a morphism of pseudo-noetherian stacks and let P be a property of morphisms stable under composition with affine morphisms and local on the source in the Zariski-topology. Let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ be a finite cover by quasi-compact open substacks. If the morphisms $U_i \to S$ have P-approximations then so has $X \to S$.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on n. Thus assume that the result is proved for the stack $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} U_i$. Let $V = U \cap U_n$. By assumption, there is a P-approximation $(V \subseteq U) \to (V_0 \subseteq U_0) \to S$. Write $U = \varprojlim_\lambda U_\lambda$ as a limit of finitely presented S-schemes with property P and let $V_\lambda = U_\lambda \times_{U_0} V_0$. By Proposition (7.6), there is an index λ and a P-approximation $(V \subseteq U_n) \to (V_\lambda \subseteq U_{n,\lambda}) \to S$ extending the approximation $V \to V_\lambda \to S$. Let X_λ be the gluing of U_λ and $U_{n,\lambda}$ along V_λ. Then $X \to X_\lambda \to S$ is a P-approximation. □

The following approximation result generalizes [TT90, Thm. C.9] where the base S is required to be affine and noetherian.

Theorem (7.8) (Approximation of schemes)**.** *Let S be a pseudo-noetherian stack with quasi-affine diagonal and let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme with a morphism to S. Then X has a strongly representable S-approximation, i.e., there exists a finitely presented strongly representable morphism $X_0 \to S$ and an affine S-morphism $X \to X_0$.*

Proof. Let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ be a finite affine cover of X. Then $U_i \to S$ is quasi-affine and thus has a quasi-affine approximation by Proposition (7.5). The theorem follows by Lemma (7.7) taking "strongly representable" as property P. □

We will now proceed with the étale devissage necessary to show that morphism of approximation type can be approximated.

Lemma (7.9). *Let $X \to S$ be a morphism of pseudo-noetherian stacks. Let $U \subseteq X$ be a quasi-compact open subset and let $p\colon X' \to X$ be a finitely presented étale neighborhood of $Z = X \setminus U$. If $U \to S$ and $X' \to S$ can be approximated, then so can $X \to S$.*

Proof. Let $U' = p^{-1}(U)$. Write U as an inverse limit $\varprojlim_\lambda U_\lambda$. For sufficiently large λ, there exists an étale morphism $U'_\lambda \to U_\lambda$ of finite presentation such that $U'_\lambda \times_{U_\lambda} U = U'$. By Proposition (7.6) we can, for sufficiently large λ, extend the approximation $U' \to U'_\lambda \to S$ to an approximation $(U' \subseteq X') \to (U'_\lambda \subseteq X'_\lambda) \to S$.

By Theorem (3.3) we have that X is the push-out of $U' \subseteq X'$ and $p|_U \colon U' \to U$. Let X_λ be the push-out of $U'_\lambda \subseteq X'_\lambda$ and $U'_\lambda \to U_\lambda$. This push-out exists by Theorem (3.4) and the morphism $X'_\lambda \amalg U_\lambda \to X_\lambda$ is étale and surjective. We have furthermore a 2-cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' \amalg U & \longrightarrow & X'_\lambda \amalg U_\lambda \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & X_\lambda \end{array}$$

by [Ryd10a, Prop. 3.2]. It follows that $X_\lambda \to S$ is of finite presentation and that $X \to X_\lambda$ is affine so that $X \to X_\lambda \to S$ is an approximation. □

Lemma (7.10). *Let $X \to S$ be a morphism of pseudo-noetherian stacks. Let $p \colon X' \to X$ be a representable étale and surjective morphism of finite presentation. If $X' \to S$ can be approximated, then so can $X \to S$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{C} \subseteq \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{Stack}_{\mathrm{fp},\text{ét}/X}$ be the full subcategory with objects étale and finitely presented morphisms $Y \to X$ such that $Y \to X \to S$ is of strict approximation type. As S is pseudo-noetherian, we have by Proposition (4.7) that $(Y \to X) \in \mathbf{C}$ if and only if $Y \to X \to S$ can be approximated.

The category $\mathbf{C}$ satisfies condition (D1) of Theorem (3.5) by definition. That $\mathbf{C}$ satisfies conditions (D2) and (D3) follows from Proposition (2.9) and Lemma (7.9). Since $X' \in \mathbf{C}$ we thus conclude from Theorem (3.5) that $X \in \mathbf{C}$, i.e., that $X \to S$ can be approximated. □

Theorem (7.11). *Let S be a pseudo-noetherian stack and let $f \colon X \to S$ be a morphism of algebraic stacks. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *$X \to S$ is of approximation type.*
(ii) *$X \to S$ is of strict approximation type.*
(iii) *$X \to S$ has an approximation.*

Proof. By definition we have that (iii) $\implies$ (ii) $\implies$ (i). That (ii) $\implies$ (iii) is Proposition (4.7) and that (i) $\implies$ (ii) is Lemma (7.10). □

Proposition (7.12). *Let S be a pseudo-noetherian stack and let $X \to Y$ and $Y \to S$ be approximable morphisms of stacks. Let $\{X_\lambda \to Y\}_{\lambda \in L}$ be an inverse system of finitely presented morphisms with limit $X \to Y$ and let $\{X'_\kappa \to S\}_{\kappa \in K}$ be an inverse system of finitely presented morphisms with limit $X \to Y \to S$. Let P be a property of morphisms of stacks stable under composition with affine morphisms. If $X'_\kappa \to S$ has property P for sufficiently large κ then so has $X_\lambda \to Y \to S$ for sufficiently large λ.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in L$ be an index. Since $X_\alpha \to Y$ is of finite presentation we have that $X_\alpha \to Y \to S$ is of approximation type. Let $X_\alpha \to X_{\alpha 0} \to S$ be an approximation so that $X \to X_\alpha \to X_{\alpha 0}$ is affine.

Since $X_{\alpha 0} \to S$ is of finite presentation, there is for sufficiently large κ a factorization $X \to X'_\kappa \to X_{\alpha 0} \to S$ by Proposition (B.1). As $X \to X_{\alpha 0}$ is affine and $X'_\kappa \to X_{\alpha 0}$ is of finite presentation we can by Proposition (6.2) assume that $X'_\kappa \to X_{\alpha 0}$ is affine after further increasing κ.

Using that X is the limit of X_λ and that $X'_\kappa \to X_{\alpha 0}$ is of finite presentation, we obtain by Proposition (B.1) for sufficiently large λ a factorization

$$X \to X_\lambda \to X'_\kappa \to X_{\alpha 0}$$

of affine morphisms. It follows that X_λ has property P. □

Proof of Theorem D. By Theorem (7.11) there exists an approximation $X \to X_0 \to S$. By Propositions (7.2) and (7.3), we can thus write X as an inverse limit of finitely presented S-schemes X_λ such that $X \to X_\lambda$ is a closed immersion if $X \to S$ is of finite type.

If $X \to S$ is integral then, since S is pseudo-noetherian, we can arrange so that $X_\lambda \to S$ is finite by the completeness property (C2) for the category of integral algebras.

Let P be one of the properties (i)–(xxi). If $X \to S$ has P then $X_\lambda \to S$ has property P for all sufficiently large λ by Theorem C. Also, if $X_\lambda \to S$ has property P then the diagonal of $X_\lambda \to S$ has property P. It follows that $X \to X_\lambda$ has property P as well.

Assume that S is of approximation type. By Theorem (7.11), the structure morphism $S \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ and the composition $X \to S \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ have approximations. Let P be one of the properties (i)–(xiv) so that P is stable under composition with affine morphisms. If $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ has property P, then by Theorem C there is an approximation $X \to X'_0 \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $X'_0 \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ has property P. If X is a scheme, then by Theorem (7.8), we can also arrange so that X'_0 is a scheme. If $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ has property P (resp. X is a scheme) it then follows by Proposition (7.12), that $X_\lambda \to S \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ has property P (resp. X_λ is a scheme) for sufficiently large λ. □

8. Applications

The first application is a generalization of Chevalley's affineness theorem to non-noetherian schemes and algebraic spaces. Also, we replace finite morphisms by integral morphisms. Partial generalizations of this type for schemes have been given by M. Raynaud [Ray68, Prop. 3.1] and B. Conrad [Con07, Cor. A.2].

Theorem (8.1) (Chevalley)**.** *Let S be an algebraic space, let $X \to S$ be an affine morphism, let $Y \to S$ be a morphism of algebraic spaces and let $f\colon X \to Y$ be an integral and surjective S-morphism. Then $Y \to S$ is affine.*

Proof. Taking an étale cover, we can assume that S is affine and hence that X is affine. We can also replace S with $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. As X is quasi-compact and f is surjective it follows that Y is quasi-compact. As f is universally closed and surjective and X is separated, it also follows that Y is separated.

By Theorem D, the morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ has an approximation $X \to X_0 \to Y$ where $X_0 \to Y$ is finite and finitely presented and X_0 is affine. Replacing X with X_0, we can thus assume that f is finitely presented.

By Theorem D, we can write Y as an inverse limit of noetherian algebraic spaces $(Y_\lambda)_\lambda$ such that $Y \to Y_\lambda$ is affine for every λ. Since f is finitely presented, there is by standard limit methods, for sufficiently large λ, a finite surjective morphism $f_\lambda\colon X_\lambda \to Y_\lambda$ which pull-backs to $f\colon X \to Y$, cf. Appendix B. After increasing λ further, we can also assume that X_λ is affine by Theorem C. By Chevalley's theorem for finite morphisms between noetherian algebraic spaces [Knu71, Thm. III.4.1] it now follows that Y_λ is affine and hence that Y is affine. □

Corollary (8.2). *Let X be an algebraic space. If X_{red} is an affine scheme (resp. a scheme) then so is X.*

Proof. Taking an open covering, we can assume that X_{red} is an affine scheme. Then as $X_{\mathrm{red}} \hookrightarrow X$ is finite and surjective, it follows by Chevalley's theorem that X is an affine scheme. □

Theorem (8.3). *Let X and S be quasi-compact stacks with quasi-finite and separated diagonals. Let $f\colon X \to S$ be a universally closed, separated and quasi-compact morphism. Then f factors through an integral surjective morphism $X \to X'$ followed by a proper morphism $X' \to S$ with finite diagonal.*

Proof. By Theorem D there is an approximation $X \to X_0 \to S$ where $X \to X_0$ is affine and $X_0 \to S$ is of finite presentation with finite diagonal. It follows that $X \to X_0$ is universally closed and thus integral [$\mathrm{EGA}_{\mathrm{IV}}$, Prop. 18.12.8]. Let $X' \hookrightarrow X_0$ be the schematic image of $X \to X_0$. Then $X \to X'$ is surjective and $X' \to S$ is universally closed, hence proper. □

As an amusing corollary, we see that the finiteness assumption in Chevalley's theorem on the fiber dimension can be removed.

Corollary (8.4) (Chevalley)**.** *Let S be an algebraic stack and let $f\colon X \to S$ be a universally closed, separated and quasi-compact morphism with finite diagonal. The fibers of f are finite-dimensional and the function $s \mapsto \dim(f^{-1}(s))$ is upper semi-continuous.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem (8.3), Theorem B and the case when f is proper and strongly representable [$\mathrm{EGA}_{\mathrm{IV}}$, Cor. 13.1.5]. □

The following theorem settles a question of Grothendieck [$\mathrm{EGA}_{\mathrm{IV}}$, Rem. 18.12.9]. This was also the original motivation for this paper.

Theorem (8.5). *Let $f\colon X \to S$ be a morphism of algebraic spaces. Then f is integral if and only if f is universally closed, separated and has affine fibers.*

Proof. Taking an étale presentation, we can assume that S is affine. The necessity follows from [$\mathrm{EGA}_{\mathrm{II}}$, Cor. 6.1.10] so assume that f is universally closed, separated and has affine fibers. As the fibers of f are quasi-compact and f is closed, we have that f is quasi-compact. Thus by Theorem (8.3) there is a factorization of f into an integral surjective morphism $X \to X'$ followed by a proper surjective morphism $X' \to S$. Chevalley's theorem (8.1) then shows that the fibers of $X' \to S$ are affine and hence finite. As a

quasi-finite and proper morphism is finite [LMB00, Cor. A.2.1], the theorem follows. □

The following variant of Zariski's Main Theorem generalizes [$\mathrm{EGA}_{\mathrm{IV}}$, Cor. 18.12.13], [Knu71, Thm. II.6.15] and [LMB00, Thm. 16.5].

Theorem (8.6) (Zariski's Main Theorem)**.** *Let S be an algebraic stack and let $f\colon X \to S$ be a representable quasi-finite and separated morphism. Then*

(i) *There is a factorization $X \to X' \to S$ of f where $X \to X'$ is an open immersion and $X' \to S$ is integral.*

(ii) *If S is pseudo-noetherian (or at least has the completeness property), then there exists a factorization as above with $X' \to S$ finite. If in addition f is of finite presentation, we can choose $X' \to S$ to be of finite presentation.*

Note that (ii) is satisfied if S is noetherian or of approximation type, e.g., quasi-compact with quasi-finite and locally separated diagonal.

Proof. (i) (cf. [LMB00, Thm. 16.5]) The integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_X \to f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq f_*\mathcal{O}_X$. Let X' be the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$. This gives a factorization $X \to X' \to S$ where the first morphism is quasi-finite, representable, separated, schematically dominant and integrally closed and the second morphism is integral. It follows that $X \to X'$ is an open immersion by Lemma (5.1).

(ii) Write $X' = \varprojlim_\lambda X'_\lambda$ as a limit of finite and finitely presented morphisms $X'_\lambda \to S$. For sufficiently large λ, there exists by Remark (B.4) an open subset $X_\lambda \subseteq X'_\lambda$ such that $X = X_\lambda \times_{X'_\lambda} X'$. As f is of finite type so is $X \to X_\lambda$ and thus we have that $X \to X_\lambda$ is finite. If f is of finite presentation, then so is $X \to X_\lambda$. Since X'_λ has the completeness property, it has the extension property (E2) for the category of integral algebras. This gives the existence of a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & = & X & \longrightarrow & X_\lambda \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ X' & \longrightarrow & X'' & \longrightarrow & X'_\lambda \end{array}$$

where $X'' \to X'_\lambda$ is finite (resp. finite and of finite presentation). The requested factorization is $X \to X'' \to S$. □

Theorem (8.7) (Serre's criterion)**.** *Let S be a quasi-compact algebraic stack with quasi-finite and separated diagonal and let $f\colon X \to S$ be a representable quasi-compact and quasi-separated morphism. Then f is affine if and only if $f_*\colon \mathbf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathbf{QCoh}(S)$ is exact (and if and only if f_* is faithfully exact).*

Proof. If f is affine, then f_* is faithfully exact. Indeed, this can be checked on a presentation $g\colon S' \to S$ since g^* is faithfully exact. Conversely assume that f_* is exact. Let $g\colon S' \to S$ be a finite surjective morphism with S' a scheme as in Theorem B and let $f'\colon X' \to S'$ be the pull-back of f. Then since g_* is faithfully exact it follows that f'_* is exact.

Let $h\colon X'' \to X'$ be a finite surjective morphism with X' a scheme, cf. Theorem B. Then f'_*h_* is exact and it follows from Serre's criterion for

schemes that $f' \circ h \colon X'' \to S'$ is affine [EGA$_{\mathrm{II}}$, Cor. 5.2.2], [EGA$_{\mathrm{IV}}$, 1.7.18]. In particular, we have that $g \circ f' \circ h$ is affine. It then follows from Chevalley's theorem (8.1) that f is affine. □

Theorem (8.7) remains valid if S is a (not necessarily quasi-compact) stack with quasi-affine diagonal. This follows from [Alp08, Prop. 3.9 (vii)].

The following forms of Chow's lemma generalize [LMB00, Cor. 16.6.1] and [RG71, Cor. 5.7.13]. Note that the hypothesis that $\mathscr{X}/S$ is separated is missing in the statement of [LMB00, Cor. 16.6.1].

Theorem (8.8) (Chow's lemma)**.** *Let S be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated algebraic space, let X be a quasi-compact stack with quasi-finite and separated diagonal and let $f \colon X \to S$ be a morphism of finite presentation. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{p} & X' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ S & \xleftarrow{\pi} & P \end{array}$$

of finitely presented morphisms such that π is projective, p is proper and surjective and g is representable and étale (but not necessarily separated). If f is separated, then g can be chosen to be an open immersion (so that $\pi \circ g$ is quasi-projective).

Proof. By the approximation theorem, we can assume that S is noetherian. Replacing X with a finite cover as in Theorem B, we can assume that X is a scheme. The result then follows from [RG71, Cor. 5.7.13]. □

We have the following variant of the previous result which is more in the spirit of the usual Chow's lemma for schemes. In this statement we can also drop the finite presentation hypothesis.

Theorem (8.9) (Chow's lemma)**.** *Let S be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated algebraic space, let X be a quasi-compact stack with quasi-finite and separated diagonal and a* finite number of irreducible components*. Let $f \colon X \to S$ be a morphism of finite type. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{p} & X' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ S & \xleftarrow{\pi} & P \end{array}$$

such that π is projective, p is proper and surjective, g is étale, and p is finite, flat and finitely presented over an open dense subset $U \subseteq X$. Moreover, if f is separated then g can be chosen as an open immersion and if X is Deligne–Mumford then $p|_U$ can be taken to be étale.

Proof. Replacing X with a finite generically étale (resp. generically flat) cover as in Theorem B, we can assume that X is an algebraic space. The result then follows from [RG71, Cor. 5.7.13]. □

We have the following finitely presented version of Raynaud–Gruson's blow-up theorem:

Theorem (8.10) (Raynaud–Gruson)**.** *Let S be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated algebraic space. Let $f\colon X \to S$ be a finitely presented morphism and $\mathcal{F}$ a finitely presented $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Let $U \subseteq S$ be an open quasi-compact subset such that $\mathcal{F}|_{f^{-1}(U)}$ is flat over S. Then there exists a proper and* finitely presented *morphism $\widetilde{S} \to S$ (not necessarily a blow-up) such that $\widetilde{S}|_U \to S|_U$ is an isomorphism and the strict transform $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on $X \times_S \widetilde{S}$ is finitely presented and flat over $\widetilde{S}$.*

Proof. Follows immediately from [RG71, Thm. 5.7.9] after passing to the noetherian case via Theorem D. □

The following theorem partly generalizes Proposition (B.3) from finitely presented morphisms to quasi-separated morphisms of finite type.

Theorem (8.11). *Let S_0 be a quasi-compact algebraic stack and let $S = \varprojlim_\lambda S_\lambda$ be an inverse system of stacks that are affine over S_0. Let α be an index and let $f_\alpha\colon X_\alpha \to S_\alpha$ be a quasi-separated morphism of finite type. For every $\lambda > \alpha$, let $f_\lambda\colon X_\lambda \to S_\lambda$ (resp. $f\colon X \to S$) be the base change of f_α along $S_\lambda \to S_\alpha$ (resp. $S \to S_\alpha$). Let P be one of the following properties of a morphism:*

(i) *representable,*
(ii) *a monomorphism,*
(iii) *an immersion,*
(iv) *a closed immersion,*
(v) *unramified,*
(vi) *locally separated,*
(vii) *separated,*
(viii) *proper with finite diagonal,*
(ix) *affine,*
(x) *quasi-affine,*
(xi) *finite,*
(xii) *quasi-finite with locally separated diagonal,*

Then f (resp. Δ_f) has property P if and only if f_λ (resp. Δ_{f_λ}) has property P for all sufficiently large λ's.

Proof. The question is local on S_0 so we can assume that S_0 is affine. Note that by assumption the diagonal Δ_{f_λ} is of finite presentation for every λ. We deduce the theorem for property (vii) separated, from Proposition (B.3) applied to Δ_{f_λ}. All other properties imply that the diagonal is quasi-finite and locally separated. We can thus apply Proposition (B.3) to deduce that for sufficiently large λ, we have that f_λ has quasi-finite and locally separated diagonal. After increasing α we can thus assume that X_α is of global type and hence can be approximated.

By Theorem D we can write $X_\alpha = \varprojlim_\mu X_{\alpha\mu}$ as an inverse limit of finitely presented morphisms $X_{\alpha\mu} \to S_\alpha$ with bounding maps closed immersions. Then $X = \varprojlim_\mu X_\mu$ where $X_\mu = X_{\alpha\mu} \times_{S_\alpha} S$. By Theorem C it follows that $X_\mu \to S$ has property P for sufficiently large μ. We then apply Proposition (B.3) to $X_{\alpha\mu} \to S_\alpha$ and deduce that $X_{\lambda\mu} \to S_\lambda$ has property P for sufficiently large λ where $X_{\lambda\mu} = X_{\alpha\mu} \times_{S_\alpha} S_\lambda$. As property P is stable under composition with closed immersions, it follows that f_λ has property P. □

The theorem does not hold for properties which are not stable under closed immersions such as: isomorphism, open immersion, étale, finite presentation, flat, smooth, universally subtrusive, universally open. An easy counter-example is furnished by taking $S_0 = \operatorname{Spec}(k[x_1, x_2, \dots])$ and $S = X_0$ as the inclusion of the origin.

Recall that a morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ is of *constructible finite type* if f is of finite type and quasi-separated and for any morphism $Y' \to Y$, the base change $f'\colon X \times_Y Y' \to Y'$ maps ind-constructible subsets onto ind-constructible subsets [Ryd09, App. D].

Proposition (8.12). *Let Y be a pseudo-noetherian stack and let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of approximation type (e.g., let X and Y be stacks of global type). Then f is of constructible finite type if and only if f can be factored as a nil-immersion $X \hookrightarrow X'$ followed by a finitely presented morphism $X' \to Y$.*

Proof. As nil-immersions and finitely presented morphisms are of constructible finite type the condition is sufficient. To see that it is necessary write $X = \varprojlim_\lambda X_\lambda$ as an inverse limit of finitely presented morphisms $X_\lambda \to Y$ with closed immersions as bounding maps. By assumption $X \hookrightarrow X_\lambda$ is of constructible finite type so that $|X| \subseteq |X_\lambda|$ is constructible. It follows that $X \hookrightarrow X_\lambda$ is bijective for sufficiently large λ by [$\mathrm{EGA}_{\mathrm{IV}}$, Cor. 8.3.5]. □

Appendix A. Constructible properties

In this appendix we extend standard results on constructible properties for schemes to algebraic stacks. We also show that if $G \to S$ is a group scheme, then the locus of S where the fibers are abelian (resp. finite and linearly reductive) is open.

Lemma (A.1). *Let Y be an algebraic space. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism between algebraic stacks, locally of finite type. Then*

(i) *f is representable if and only if f_y is representable for every $y \in Y$.*
(ii) *f is a monomorphism if and only if f_y is a monomorphism for every $y \in Y$ (i.e., X_y is either empty or $k(y)$-isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spec}(k(y))$ for every $y \in Y$).*
(iii) *f is unramified if and only if f_y is unramified for every $y \in Y$.*

Proof. (iii) is [Ryd09, Prop. B.3]. The necessity of (i) and (ii) is clear. We begin with showing the sufficiency of (ii) under the additional assumption that f is representable. If f_y is a monomorphism for every $y \in Y$, then f is unramified by (iii) and in particular Δ_f is an open immersion. As f is universally injective we have that Δ_f is bijective and hence an isomorphism, i.e., f is a monomorphism.

Now assume that f_y is representable for every $y \in Y$. Then $(\Delta_{X/Y})_y$ is a monomorphism for every $y \in Y$ and hence $\Delta_{X/Y}$ is a monomorphism by the special case of (ii). This shows that f is representable. The general case of (ii) now follows from (i) and the special case of (ii). □

The following lemma generalizes [$\mathrm{EGA}_{\mathrm{IV}}$, Prop. 9.2.6.1] to non-representable morphisms.

Lemma (A.2). *Let S be an algebraic space and let X be an algebraic stack of finite presentation over S. The function $|S| \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $s \mapsto \dim X_s$ is constructible.*

Proof. Let $f\colon X \to S$ denote the structure morphism. Let $x\colon \operatorname{Spec}(k) \to X$ be a point and let $s = f \circ x$. The dimension $\dim_x X_s$ only depends on the image of the point x in the topological space $|X|$. This gives a function $\dim_{X/S}\colon |X| \to \mathbb{Z}$. Let $p\colon U \to X$ be a smooth presentation. Then $\dim_{U/S}\colon |U| \to \mathbb{N}$ is constructible [$\mathrm{EGA_{IV}}$, Prop. 9.9.1] (and upper semi-continuous) and $\dim_{U/X}\colon |U| \to \mathbb{N}$ is locally constant. Thus $\dim_{X/S} \circ |p| = \dim_{U/S} - \dim_{U/X}$ is a constructible (and upper semi-continuous) function. The set $f(\dim_{X/S} = d) = (f \circ p)(\dim_{X/S} \circ |p| = d)$ is thus constructible by Chevalley's theorem [$\mathrm{EGA_I}$, Thm. 7.1.4]. As $\dim X_s = \sup_{x \in |X_s|} \dim_x X_s = \sup_d\{d \ : \ s \in f(\dim_{X/S} = d)\}$ it follows that $s \mapsto \dim X_s$ is constructible. □

Proposition (A.3). *Let S be an algebraic space and let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism between algebraic stacks of finite presentation over S. Let P be one of the following properties of a morphism:*

(i) *monomorphism,*
(ii) *universally injective (i.e., "radiciel"),*
(iii) *surjective,*
(iv) *isomorphism,*
(v) *representable,*
(vi) *unramified,*
(vii) *flat,*
(viii) *étale,*
(ix) *quasi-finite,*
(x) *has quasi-finite diagonal.*

Then the set of $s \in S$ such that $f_s\colon X_s \to Y_s$ has P is constructible.

Proof. The question is local on S so we can assume that S is affine. We may also replace Y with a presentation and assume that Y is affine. When f is strongly representable the proposition holds by [$\mathrm{EGA_{IV}}$, Props. 9.6.1, 11.2.8 and 17.7.11].

If f is representable, then let $X' \to X$ be an étale presentation with X' a scheme. The corresponding result for $X' \to S$ implies the result for f and all properties with the exception of (i) monomorphism, (ii) universally injective and (iv) isomorphism. The locus where f is a monomorphism (resp. universally injective) coincides with the locus where Δ_f is an isomorphism (resp. surjective) and this is constructible (since Δ_f is strongly representable). This settles properties (i) and (ii). Finally f is an isomorphism if and only if f is a surjective étale monomorphism which shows that property (iv) is constructible.

For general f, we can now deduce that the proposition holds for the properties: (i) monomorphism, (ii) universally injective, (v) representable, (vi) unramified and (x) quasi-finite diagonal; by considering the corresponding properties for the diagonal: (iv) isomorphism, (iii) surjective, (i) monomorphism, (viii) étale, and (ix) quasi-finite. Properties (iii) surjective and (vii)

flat, follow by taking a presentation $X' \to X$. Property (viii) étale is the conjunction of properties (vi) unramified and (vii) flat. As before property (iv) isomorphism, is the conjunction of properties (i), (iii) and (viii).

Property (ix) quasi-finite can be checked on fibers and we can thus, by Chevalley's Theorem [$\mathrm{EGA_I}$, Thm. 7.1.4], assume that $S = Y$. The set in question is then the set where the fibers of f and Δ_f both have dimension zero. This set is constructible by Lemma (A.2). □

Proposition (A.4). *Let S be an algebraic space and let G be an S-group space of finite presentation (i.e., a group object in the category of algebraic spaces). The set of points $s \in S$ such that G_s is abelian (resp. finite and linearly reductive) is constructible.*

Proof. The question is local on S so we can assume that S is a scheme. Let G act on G by conjugation and let $\rho\colon G \times_S G \to G$ be the corresponding morphism, pointwise given by $(g,h) \mapsto ghg^{-1}$. As the diagonal $\Delta\colon G \to G \times_S G$ is of finite presentation, the subset Z of $G \times_S G$ where $\rho = \pi_2$ is constructible. As the structure morphism $p\colon G \times_S G \to S$ is of finite presentation, it follows that the subset $W = S \setminus p(G \times_S G \setminus Z)$, of points $s \in S$ such that G_s is abelian, is constructible.

For a group scheme $H \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$, we let $E(H,k)$ be the property that $H \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ is finite and linearly reductive, or equivalently that $H \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ is *locally well-split* [AOV08, Prop. 2.10]. This property is stable under field extensions k'/k. Let E be the set of points $s \in S$ such that $E(G_s, k(s))$ holds. We have to show that E is constructible. By [$\mathrm{EGA_{IV}}$, Prop. 9.2.3], it is enough to show that if S is an integral noetherian scheme, then there is an open dense subset $U \subseteq S$ that is contained in either E or $S \setminus E$.

To show this we can replace S with an open dense subset such that $G \to S$ becomes flat [$\mathrm{EGA_{IV}}$, Thm. 11.1.1]. Moreover, as the property of having finite fibers is constructible, we can assume that $G \to S$ is quasi-finite. After replacing S with an open dense subset, we can assume that $G \to S$ is finite. Then E is open by [AOV08, Lem. 2.13] and thus either E is dense or empty. □

Appendix B. Standard limit results

In this appendix, we generalize the standard limit methods for schemes in [$\mathrm{EGA_{IV}}$, §8] to algebraic stacks. This has been done in [LMB00, Props. 4.15, 4.18] (also see [Ols06, Prop. 2.2]) for algebraic stacks over inverse systems of *affine* schemes. In this appendix we allow inverse system of algebraic stacks. As elsewhere, we do not insist that the diagonal of an algebraic stack is separated. All inverse systems are assumed to be filtered and have affine bounding maps so that their inverse limits exist in the category of algebraic stacks.

We begin with the functorial characterization of morphisms that are locally of finite presentation, cf. [$\mathrm{EGA_{IV}}$, Prop. 8.14.2].

Proposition (B.1). *Let $f\colon Y \to S$ be a morphism of algebraic stacks. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *f is locally of finite presentation.*
(ii) *For every inverse limit $\{g_\lambda\colon X_\lambda \to S\}$ of quasi-compact and quasi-separated stacks X_λ with limit $g\colon X \to S$ the functor*

$$\varinjlim_\lambda \mathbf{Hom}_S(X_\lambda, Y) \to \mathbf{Hom}_S(X, Y)$$

is an equivalence of categories.
(iii) *As* (ii) *but with X_λ affine for every λ.*

Proof. Clearly (ii) $\implies$ (iii). That (i) $\iff$ (iii) is [LMB00, Prop. 4.15 (i)]. Let us show that (iii) $\implies$ (ii) which essentially is the proof of [LMB00, Prop. 4.18 (i)]. After making the base change $X_\lambda \to S$ for some λ, we can assume that the X_λ's are affine over S. Let $U_0 \to S$ be a presentation and let $U_\lambda = U_0 \times_S X_\lambda$ so that $U_\lambda \to X_\lambda$ is a presentation. Let $(U_\lambda/X_\lambda)^i = U_\lambda \times_{X_\lambda} \cdots \times_{X_\lambda} U_\lambda$ denote the i^{th} fiber product. The category $\mathbf{Hom}_S(X_\lambda, Y)$ is equivalent to the category given by the cosimplicial diagram of categories

$$\mathbf{Hom}_S(U_\lambda, Y) \rightrightarrows \mathbf{Hom}_S\big((U_\lambda/X_\lambda)^2, Y\big) \substack{\rightarrow\\ \rightarrow\\ \rightarrow} \mathbf{Hom}_S\big((U_\lambda/X_\lambda)^3, Y\big)$$

(cf. *loc. cit.*) and this construction commutes with filtered limits. It is therefore enough to show the proposition after replacing X_λ with $(U_\lambda/X_\lambda)^i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

First assume that S is a separated algebraic space, and choose a presentation $U_0 \to S$ with U_0 affine. Then the fiber products $(U_\lambda/X_\lambda)^i$ are affine for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and we are done in this case. Then assume that S is an algebraic space. Then $(U_\lambda/X_\lambda)^i$ are separated algebraic spaces and this case follows from the previous. Finally assume that S is a general algebraic stack. Then $(U_\lambda/X_\lambda)^i$ are algebraic spaces and this settles the final case. □

Proposition (B.2). *Let S_0 be an algebraic stack and let $S = \varprojlim_\lambda S_\lambda$ be an inverse limit of stacks that are affine over S_0.*

(i) *Let $X_0 \to S_0$ and $Y_0 \to S_0$ be morphisms of stacks and let*

$$X_\lambda = X_0 \times_{S_0} S_\lambda, \quad Y_\lambda = Y_0 \times_{S_0} S_\lambda,$$
$$X = X_0 \times_{S_0} S, \quad Y = Y_0 \times_{S_0} S$$

for every λ. Suppose that X_0 is quasi-compact and quasi-separated and that $Y_0 \to S_0$ is locally of finite presentation. Then the functor

$$\varinjlim_\lambda \mathbf{Hom}_{S_\lambda}(X_\lambda, Y_\lambda) \to \mathbf{Hom}_S(X, Y)$$

is an equivalence of categories.
(ii) *Suppose that S_0 is quasi-compact and quasi-separated. Let $X \to S$ be a morphism of finite presentation. Then there exists an index α, an algebraic stack X_α of finite presentation over S_α and an S-isomorphism $X_\alpha \times_{S_\alpha} S \to X$.*

Proof. Note that $\mathbf{Hom}_{S_\lambda}(X_\lambda, Y_\lambda) = \mathbf{Hom}_{S_0}(X_\lambda, Y_0)$ and $\mathbf{Hom}_S(X, Y) = \mathbf{Hom}_{S_0}(X, Y_0)$. The first statement thus follows from Proposition (B.1) with $S = S_0$ and $Y = Y_0$.

(ii) When S_0 and X are schemes, this is [EGA$_{\text{IV}}$, Thm. 8.8.2 (ii)]. It is not difficult to extend to the case where X is an algebraic space, cf. [LMB00, Prop. 4.18]. For the general case, choose a presentation $V_0 \to S_0$ with V_0

affine and let $V_\lambda = V_0 \times_{S_0} S_\lambda$ and $V = V_0 \times_{S_0} S$. Then choose a presentation $U \to X \times_S V$, and let $R = U \times_X U$ so that X is the quotient of a groupoid $[R \rightrightarrows U]$. Consider R as a $V \times_S V$-space.

Applying the case with algebraic spaces, there is an index λ and algebraic spaces U_λ and R_λ of finite presentation over V_λ and $V_\lambda \times_{S_\lambda} V_\lambda$ such that their pull-backs to V and $V \times_S V$ are isomorphic to $U \to V$ and $R \to V \times_S V$. By composition, we obtain finitely presented morphisms $U_\lambda \to S$ and $R_\lambda \to S$ such that their pull-backs are isomorphic to $U \to S$ and $R \to S$. Note that this takes place in the 1-category $\mathbf{AlgSp}_{/S}$ and that isomorphic signifies that there are 2-commutative diagrams

$$U_\lambda \times_{S_\lambda} S \xrightarrow{\cong} U \longrightarrow S \qquad R_\lambda \times_{S_\lambda} S \xrightarrow{\cong} R \longrightarrow S.$$

By (i), we can for sufficiently large λ find morphisms such that we obtain a groupoid $R_\lambda \rightrightarrows U_\lambda$ in the category $\mathbf{AlgSp}_{/S_\lambda}$ which pull-backs to the groupoid $R \rightrightarrows U$ in the category $\mathbf{AlgSp}_{/S}$. For sufficiently large λ we can also assume that the morphisms $s, t\colon R_\lambda \to U_\lambda$ are smooth. Indeed, this can be checked on an étale presentation of the algebraic space R_λ so that we can apply [EGA$_{\mathrm{IV}}$, Prop. 17.7.8]. Note that the diagonal $\Delta\colon U_\lambda \to R_\lambda \times_{U_\lambda} R_\lambda$ is of finite presentation and in particular quasi-compact and quasi-separated.

Let $X_\lambda = [R_\lambda \rightrightarrows U_\lambda]$ be the quotient stack. There is an induced morphism $X_\lambda \to S_\lambda$, unique up to unique 2-isomorphism, such that the pull-back $X_\lambda \times_{S_\lambda} S \to S$ is isomorphic to $X \to S$. □

Proposition (B.3). *Let S_0 be a quasi-compact algebraic stack and let $S = \varprojlim_\lambda S_\lambda$ be an inverse system of stacks that are affine over S_0. Let α be an index and let $f_\alpha\colon X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha$ be a morphism of stacks of finite presentation over S_α. For every $\lambda > \alpha$, let $f_\lambda\colon X_\lambda \to Y_\lambda$ (resp. $f\colon X \to Y$) be the base change of f_α along $S_\lambda \to S_\alpha$ (resp. $S \to S_\alpha$). Let P be one of the following properties of a morphism:*

(i) *representable,*
(ii) *a monomorphism,*
(iii) *an isomorphism,*
(iv) *an immersion,*
(v) *a closed immersion,*
(vi) *an open immersion,*
(vii) *universally injective (i.e., "radiciel"),*
(viii) *surjective,*
(ix) *flat,*
(x) *universally subtrusive,*
(xi) *universally open,*
(xii) *smooth,*
(xiii) *unramified,*
(xiv) *étale,*
(xv) *locally separated,*
(xvi) *separated,*
(xvii) *proper,*

(xviii) *affine,*
(xix) *quasi-affine,*
(xx) *finite,*
(xxi) *quasi-finite,*

Then f (resp. Δ_f) has property P if and only if f_λ (resp. Δ_{f_λ}) has property P for all sufficiently large λ's.

If in addition $X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha$ is representable and a group object, then the same conclusion holds for the properties:

(xxii) *abelian fibers,*
(xxiii) *quasi-finite with linearly reductive fibers.*

Proof. The condition is clearly sufficient. We will prove that it is necessary. We can assume that $S_0 = S_\alpha = Y_\alpha$. As the properties are fppf-local on the base, we can further assume that S_0 is an affine scheme. When f_α is strongly representable, the proposition is [$\mathrm{EGA_{IV}}$, Thms. 8.10.5, 11.2.6, Prop. 17.7.8] and [Ryd10c, Thms. 6.4 and 6.6].

(viii)–(xii): Properties (viii) surjective, (ix) flat, (x) universally subtrusive, (xi) universally open and (xii) smooth can be checked after replacing X_α with a smooth presentation.

(i)–(vii), (xiii)–(xv): Assume that the proposition has been proven when f_α is representable (resp. strongly representable). Then for general f_α (resp. representable f_α), we note that properties: (i) representable, (ii) monomorphism, (vii) universally injective, (xiii) unramified and (xv) locally separated; correspond respectively to the properties: (ii) monomorphism, (iii) isomorphism, (viii) surjective, (xiv) étale and (iv) immersion; of the diagonal which is representable (resp. strongly representable). A monomorphism is strongly representable by [Knu71, Thm. 6.15] and hence properties (iii)–(vi) follows from (ii) and the strongly representable case. Property (xiv) is the conjunction of (ix) and (xiii).

(xvi) and (xvii): Assume that the proposition has been proven when f_α is representable (resp. strongly representable). Then for general f_α (resp. representable f_α) the proposition holds for property (xvi) separated, by considering the diagonal. Let f be proper. We can then assume that f_α is separated. Writing S_α as a limit $\varprojlim_\beta S_{\alpha\beta}$ of noetherian affine schemes, we can by Proposition (B.2) assume that f_α is the pull-back of a finitely presented separated morphism $X_{\alpha\beta} \to S_{\alpha\beta}$. Then by Chow's lemma [Knu71, Ch. IV, Thm. 3.1] and [Ols05], there exists a *scheme* $Z_{\alpha\beta}$ and a proper surjective morphism $Z_{\alpha\beta} \to X_{\alpha\beta}$. Let $Z_\alpha = Z_{\alpha\beta} \times_{S_{\alpha\beta}} S_\alpha$. It is enough to show that $Z_\lambda = Z_\alpha \times_{S_\alpha} S_\lambda \to S_\lambda$ is proper for sufficiently large λ. Thus, property (xvii) proper, follows from the strongly representable case.

(xviii)–(xx): If f is affine (resp. quasi-affine), then f factors as a closed immersion (resp. immersion) $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n_S \to S$. As $\mathbb{A}^n_S = \mathbb{A}^n_{S_0} \times_{S_0} S$, it follows by Proposition (B.2) that for sufficiently large λ there is a factorization $X_\lambda \to \mathbb{A}^n_{S_\lambda} \to S_\lambda$ such that $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n_S$ is a pull-back of $X_\lambda \to \mathbb{A}^n_{S_\lambda}$. For sufficiently large λ, the latter morphism is a closed immersion (resp. immersion). If f is finite, then f_λ is affine for sufficiently large λ and we can apply the strongly representable case.

(xxi)–(xxiii): These properties can be checked on fibers and are constructible by Propositions (A.3) and (A.4). The result for these properties thus follows from [$\mathrm{EGA_{IV}}$, Prop. 9.3.3]. □

Remark **(B.4).** *cf.* [$\mathrm{EGA_{IV}}$, Cor. 8.2.11] — If $X = \varprojlim_\lambda X_\lambda$ is quasi-compact and $U \subseteq X$ is an open quasi-compact subset, then there exists an index λ and an open quasi-compact subset $U_\lambda \subseteq X_\lambda$ such that $U = U_\lambda \times_{X_\lambda} X$. This follows from the previous propositions since an open quasi-compact immersion is of finite presentation.

References

[Alp08] Jarod Alper, *Good moduli spaces for Artin stacks*, Preprint, Apr 2008, arXiv:0804.2242v2.

[AOV08] Dan Abramovich, Martin Olsson, and Angelo Vistoli, *Tame stacks in positive characteristic*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **58** (2008), no. 4, 1057–1091, arXiv:math/0703310.

[CLO09] Brian Conrad, Max Lieblich, and Martin Olsson, *Nagata compactification for algebraic spaces*, Preprint, Oct 2009, arXiv:0910.5008v1.

[Con07] Brian Conrad, *Deligne's notes on Nagata compactifications*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **22** (2007), no. 3, 205–257.

[$\mathrm{EGA_I}$] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. I. Le langage des schémas*, second ed., Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen, vol. 166, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.

[$\mathrm{EGA_{II}}$] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1961), no. 8, 222.

[$\mathrm{EGA_{IV}}$] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1964-67), nos. 20, 24, 28, 32.

[EHKV01] Dan Edidin, Brendan Hassett, Andrew Kresch, and Angelo Vistoli, *Brauer groups and quotient stacks*, Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001), no. 4, 761–777.

[Gro10] Philipp Gross, *Vector bundles as generators on schemes and stacks*, PhD. Thesis, Düsseldorf, May 2010.

[Knu71] Donald Knutson, *Algebraic spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 203.

[LMB00] Gérard Laumon and Laurent Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[Lüt93] W. Lütkebohmert, *On compactification of schemes*, Manuscripta Math. **80** (1993), no. 1, 95–111.

[Ols05] Martin Olsson, *On proper coverings of Artin stacks*, Adv. Math. **198** (2005), no. 1, 93–106.

[Ols06] ———, *Hom-stacks and restriction of scalars*, Duke Math. J. **134** (2006), no. 1, 139–164.

[Per76] Daniel Perrin, *Approximation des schémas en groupes, quasi compacts sur un corps*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **104** (1976), no. 3, 323–335.

[Ray68] Michel Raynaud, *Un critère d'effectivité de descente*, Séminaire d'Algèbre Commutative dirigé par Pierre Samuel: 1967–1968. Les épimorphismes d'anneaux, Exp. No. 5, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1968, p. 22.

[RG71] Michel Raynaud and Laurent Gruson, *Critères de platitude et de projectivité. Techniques de "platification" d'un module*, Invent. Math. **13** (1971), 1–89.

[Ryd09] David Rydh, *The canonical embedding of an unramified morphism in an étale morphism*, Oct 2009, arXiv:0910.0056v2, To appear in *Math. Z.*

[Ryd10a] ———, *Étale devissage, descent and push-outs of stacks*, Preprint, May 2010, arXiv:1005.2171.

[Ryd10b] ———, *Representability of Hilbert schemes and Hilbert stacks of points*, 2010, arXiv:0802.3807v1, To appear in *Comm. Algebra*.

[Ryd10c] ———, *Submersions and effective descent of étale morphisms*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **138** (2010), no. 2, 181–230, arXiv:0710.2488v3.

[Sum75] Hideyasu Sumihiro, *Equivariant completion. II*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **15** (1975), no. 3, 573–605.

[Tem08] Michael Temkin, *Relative Riemann–Zariski spaces*, Preprint, Apr 2008, arXiv:0804.2843v1.

[Tot04] Burt Totaro, *The resolution property for schemes and stacks*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **577** (2004), 1–22.

[TT90] R. W. Thomason and Thomas Trobaugh, *Higher algebraic K-theory of schemes and of derived categories*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. III, Progr. Math., vol. 88, Birkhäuser Boston, 1990, pp. 247–435.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, 970 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 USA

E-mail address: dary@math.berkeley.edu